| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9 | BRYAN CAVE LLP<br>C. Scott Greene, California Bar No. 277445<br>Sean D. Muntz, California Bar No. 223549<br>John C. Hedger, California Bar No. 230814<br>333 Market Street, 25 <sup>th</sup> Floor<br>San Francisco, CA 94105<br>Telephone: (415) 675-3400<br>Facsimile: (415) 675-3434<br>Email: scott.greene@bryancave.com<br>sean.muntz@bryancave.com<br>hedgerj@bryancave.com<br>Attorneys for Defendants<br>JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. (erroneously n<br>SERVICE CORP., INC. | amed as Chase Bank); and QUALITY LOAN                                    |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11  | NORTHERN DISTRIC   | Γ OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |  |  |
| 12  | JOSEPH O. OIYEMHONLAN, <i>an individual</i> and MARTHA OIYEMHONLAN, <i>an individual</i> ,   | Case No. 3-11-CV-06622 EDL   |  |  |  |  |
| 13  |  | JOINT STIPULATION TO MODIFY  |  |  |  |  |
| 14  | Plaintiffs,  | PRIOR ORDER ON STAY OF   |  |  |  |  |
| 15  | VS.  | PROCEEDINGS FOR SIXTY DAYS AND<br><del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER            |  |  |  |  |
| 16  | JP MORGAN MORTGAGE ACQUISITION<br>CORP., business entity unknown; QUALITY  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  | LOAN SERVICE CORP., business entity  | Judge: Magistrate Elizabeth D. LaPorte                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 18  | unknown; and DOES 1 through 100,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19  | Defendants.  | Date Action Filed:November 18, 2011Date Action Removed:December 22, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| 20  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24<br>25                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23<br>26                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20<br>27                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20  | C022167C022167/0331058/59482.1   | CASE NO. 3-11-CV-06622 EDL   |  |  |  |  |

BRYAN CAVE LLP 333 MARKET STREET, 25<sup>th</sup> FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

| 1  | <b>STIPULATION</b>   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | Plaintiffs Joseph and Martha Oiyemhonlan ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants JP MORGAN                           |  |
| 3  | CHASE BANK, N.A, ("Chase") and QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP., INC. (collectively                              |  |
| 4  | "Defendants") by and through their respective counsel, STIPULATE and AGREE as follows:                     |  |
| 5  | WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the County of Alameda                        |  |
| 6  | on November 18, 2011;  |  |
| 7  | WHEREAS, on November 22, 2011 in the Superior Court of the County of Alameda,                              |  |
| 8  | Plaintiffs obtained an <i>ex parte</i> temporary restraining order ("TRO") enjoining the Trustee's sale of |  |
| 9  | the real property at 27535 Orlando Avenue in Hayward, California (the "Property");                         |  |
| 10 | WHEREAS Defendants removed the action to this Court pursuant to the provisions of 28                       |  |
| 11 | U.S.C.section 1441(b) on December 22, 2011;  |  |
| 12 | WHEREAS Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint on December 29,                         |  |
| 13 | 2011;  |  |
| 14 | WHEREAS the parties previously stipulated to stay all proceedings in this matter until                     |  |
| 15 | March 19, 2012, including hearings, briefings, appearances and any other deadlines imposed by              |  |
| 16 | law or the Court, pending a determination by Defendant Chase of Plaintiffs' suitability for loan           |  |
| 17 | modification, which is economically and judicially efficient;  |  |
| 18 | WHEREAS Chase has evaluated Plaintiffs for a loan modification and offered a loan                          |  |
| 19 | modification to Plaintiffs that has yet to be accepted;  |  |
| 20 | WHEREAS the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is set for April 24, 2012;                            |  |
| 21 | WHEREAS the Case Management Conference is presently scheduled for April 24, 2012.                          |  |
| 22 | WHEREAS the parties stipulate and agree that Plaintiffs' time to file an Opposition to                     |  |
| 23 | Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is extended to April 2, 2012;  |  |
| 24 | WHEREAS the parties further stipulate and agree that Defendants' time to file a reply in                   |  |
| 25 | support of their Motion to Dismiss will be extended to April 9, 2012;                                      |  |
| 26 | WHEREAS the parties further stipulate and agree that the extensions of time related to                     |  |
| 27 | filings requested herein are not requested for purposes of delay and will not result in any prejudice      |  |
| 28 | to the parties or to the Court;  |  |
|    | 2  |  |

2 JOINT STIPULATION TO MODIFY PRIOR ORDER ON STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

| 1  | IT IS  | THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED by Plaintiffs and Defendants, by                       |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | and through their respective counsel, and the Court is respectfully requested to amend its prior |  |  |  |
| 3  | order so that:   | order so that:   |  |  |
| 4  | 1.   | The deadline for Plaintiffs to file their Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss shall be |  |  |
| 5  |  | extended to April 2, 2012;   |  |  |
| 6  | 2.   | The deadline for Defendants to file their reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to the       |  |  |
| 7  |  | Motion to Dismiss shall be extended to April 9, 2012;                                  |  |  |
| 8  | 3.   | The hearing on the motion to dismiss shall remain as set for April 24, 2012;           |  |  |
| 9  | 4.   | The case management conference shall remain as set for April 24, 2012;                 |  |  |
| 10 | 5.   | The TRO obtained by Plaintiffs in the Superior Court in the County of Alameda          |  |  |
| 11 |  | shall remain in effect until this Court makes a determination on the TRO, or by        |  |  |
| 12 |  | further agreement by the parties.  |  |  |
| 13 | IT IS SO STIPULATED.   |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Dated: March 26, 2012 BEDI & JOHNSON   |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | BEDI & JOHNSON   |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | By: /s/ Ginny Bedi<br>Ginny Bedi   |  |  |
| 19 |  | BEDI & JOHNSON LLP<br>Attorneys for Plaintiffs   |  |  |
| 20 |  | JOSEPH and MARTHA OIYEMHONLAN  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Dated: March   | BRYAN CAVE LLP   |  |  |
| 23 |  | By: /s/ John C. Hedger   |  |  |
| 24 |  | John C. Hedger   |  |  |
| 25 |  | Attorneys for Defendant<br>JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A and QUALITY                        |  |  |
| 26 |  | LOAN SERVICE CORP., INC.   |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |

| 1  | ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45   |
|----|--|
| 2  | I, John C. Hedger, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained          |
| 3  | from each of the signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States |
| 4  | of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 26, 2012, at San Francisco,     |
| 5  | California.  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | /s/_John C. Hedger   |
| 8  | John C. Hedger   |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |

| 1  |                   | <b>TROPOSED</b> ORDER  |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2  |                   |  |
| 3  | The C             | Court, having reviewed the parties' Stipulation, hereby orders as follows:         |
| 4  | 1.                | The deadline for Plaintiffs to file their Opposition to Defendants' Motion to      |
| 5  |                   | Dismiss is extended to April 2, 2012   |
| 6  | 2.                | The deadline for Defendants to file their Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to the   |
| 7  |                   | Motion to Dismiss shall be extended to April 9, 2012;                              |
| 8  | 3.                | The hearing on the motion to dismiss shall remain set for April 24, 2012;          |
| 9  | 4.                | The case management conference shall remain set for April 24, 2012.                |
| 10 | 5.                | The TRO shall remain in effect until further determination by this Court on the    |
| 11 |                   | TRO if the hearing date is re-noticed by Plaintiffs or by further agreement of the |
| 12 |                   | parties;   |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |  |
| 14 |                   |  |
| 15 | Dated: Marc       |  |
| 16 |                   | By: MAGISTRATE   |
| 17 |                   | JUDGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT,<br>NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA               |
| 18 |                   |  |
| 19 |                   |  |
| 20 |                   |  |
| 21 |                   |  |
| 22 |                   |  |
| 23 |                   |  |
| 24 |                   |  |
| 25 |                   |  |
| 26 |                   |  |
| 27 |                   |  |
| 28 |                   |  |