1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

	ATES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
AMBER HAWTHORNE, et al., Plaintiffs,	Case No. 11-cv-06700-JST
v. UMPQUA BANK,	ORDER VACATING MOTION HEARING
Diff QUA BANK,	Re: Dkt. No. 40

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. Dkt. No. 40. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds that the parties' briefs have thoroughly addressed the issues, rendering the matter suitable for disposition without oral argument. The hearing on this matter, currently scheduled for June 20, 2013, is hereby VACATED.

However, if any party advises the Court in writing by no later than two days from the date of this Order that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer who has been licensed to practice law for four or fewer years, the Court will reschedule the hearing at a time that is convenient to all parties in order to provide that opportunity. Any such notice should reflect the date or dates on which the parties are available for the hearing.

23 24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Defendant.

Dated: June 13, 2013

JON S.

United States District Judge