

1 JOSEPH McINERNEY
60 North Third Street #301
2 San Jose, California 95112

RECEIVED

JUN 03 2011

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 Plaintiff in Pro Se

5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10
11 JOSEPH McINERNEY, PETITION BY VEXATIOUS LITIGANT
12 Plaintiff, TO FILE ACTION AGAINST IN NAMED ACTION
13 vs. IN DISTRICT COURT
14 CITY OF SAN JOSE,
15 et al

16 Plaintiff Joseph McInerney, an alleged vexatious litigant
17 hereby petitions this court for an order permitting the filing of
18 the enclosed action, a copy of which is attached heretofore.

19 As the allegations of the verified Complaint states
20 enumerated defendants are involved in a conspiracy to harass
21 plaintiff including decimating pictures scanned from a sur-
22 veillance cameras planted in plaintiff's three residential units
23 and the placement of said pictures on a counterfeit web site in
24 plaintiff's name on two successive separate web sites on MySpace.
25 It is a policy of harassment initiated by the City and County of
26 San Francisco over a period aof years continuing up to the pre-
27 sent through the City of San Jose's police Department.

28 Plaintiff's alleged medical records from the City of San

1 Francisco are also being decimated pursuant to this conspiracy.

2 Said conspiracy violates plaintiff's right to privacy.

3 *Trujillo v. City of Ontario* 2008 428 f. Supp2d10924

4 at 1109 stated;

5 " No reasonable jury could find that Plaintiffs did
6 not have a reasonable expectation of being free from
7 covert videp surveillance while being in OPD's
8 locker room or that the search was reasonable
9 under the probable cause standard without a warrant"

10 *Dated 4/28/11*

11 *Joseph W. Smith*

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28