

E-Filed 3/6/12

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 ROBERT E. JACOBSEN, et al.,

No. C 12-00135 RS

12
13 Plaintiffs,**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

14 v.

15 AURORA LOAN SERVICES LLC, et al.,

16 Defendants.
17 _____/

18
19 A hearing on plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment and defendants' motion to
20 dismiss is scheduled for April 5, 2012. Defendants made a motion to extend time to file a response
21 to plaintiffs' motion. In support, defendants demonstrated that plaintiffs had informally agreed to
22 this extension, yet failed to approve a stipulation prior to defendants' response deadline. Pursuant to
23 the liberal standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1), "requests for extensions of time
24 made before the applicable deadline has passed should 'normally ... be granted in the absence of bad
25 faith on the part of the party seeking relief or prejudice to the adverse party.'" *Ahanchian v. Xenon*
26 *Pictures, Inc.*, 624 F.3d 1253, 1258-59 (9th Cir. 2010). Here, defendants' motion is unopposed and
27 there is no evidence of bad faith or unfair prejudice. The April 5, 2012 motion hearing is hereby
28 vacated. The parties are directed to appear for a consolidated motion hearing on May 17, 2012 at

No. C
ORDER

1 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 3 on the 17th Floor of the United States Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate
2 Avenue, in San Francisco, California. The existing briefing schedule for defendants' motion to
3 dismiss shall remain in place. The briefing schedule for plaintiffs' motion for partial summary
4 judgment shall proceed as follows: Defendants shall submit a response by March 29, 2012.
5 Plaintiffs may file a reply brief by April 5, 2012.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 Dated: 3/6/12



10 RICHARD SEEBORG
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28