In Re Netflix, Inc.,	ecurities Litigation		Doc. 107
1	KEITH E. EGGLETON, State Bar No. 159842		
2	Email: keggleton@wsgr.com BORIS FELDMAN, State Bar No. 128838		
3	Email: boris.feldman@wsgr.com RODNEY G. STRICKLAND, State Bar No. 161934		
4	Email: rstrickland@wsgr.com LUKE A. LISS, State Bar No. 247520		
5	Email: lliss@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI		
	Professional Corporation		
6	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050		
7	Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100		
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	Netflix, Inc., Reed Hastings, David Wells, and Barry McCarthy		
10	Barry McCartiny		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
15	In re NETFLIX, INC., SECURITIES) LITIGATION)	Case No.: 3:12-cv-00225-SC	
16	LITIGATION	CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION	
17)		
18		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER	
19	This Document Relates To:		
20	ALL ACTIONS.		
21))		
22))		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER		
	CASE No.: 3:12-cv-00225-SC	Dealers	luotid as
		Dockets.J	ustia.com

1	WHEREAS, lead plaintiffs Arkansas Teacher Retirement System and State-Boston			
2	Retirement System ("Lead Plaintiffs") filed the First Amended Consolidated Class Action			
3	Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws (the "Complaint") against defendants			
4	Netflix, Inc., Reed Hastings, David Wells, and Barry McCarthy (collectively, "Defendants") on			
5	March 22, 2013;			
6	WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 6(d) and 15(a)(3), Defendants			
7	response to the Complaint is to be filed on or before April 8, 2013;			
8	WHEREAS, Defendants intend to file a motion to dismiss the Complaint (the "Motion t			
9	Dismiss");			
10	WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and agree that setting an appropriate schedule			
11	regarding the Motion to Dismiss and related briefing is in the best interest of the parties;			
12	THEREFORE, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE COURT, IT IS HEREBY			
13	STIPULATED AND AGREED, by the undersigned, as follows:			
14	1. Defendants' Motion	n to Dismiss shall be filed on or before April 24, 2013.		
15	2. Lead Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shall be filed on o			
16	before May 24, 2013.			
17	3. Defendants' reply n	nemorandum shall be filed on or before June 7, 2013.		
18	4. Prior to the filing of	f the Motion to Dismiss, the parties shall meet and confer		
19	regarding a hearing date for the Motion to Dismiss that is convenient for the parties and the			
20	Court.			
21	Dated: March 28, 2013	Respectfully submitted,		
22		WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI		
23		Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road		
24		Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300		
25		Facsimile: (650) 565-5100		
26		By: /s/ Rodney G. Strickland		
27		Rodney G. Strickland rstrickland@wsgr.com		
28				

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER CASE NO.: 3:12-cv-00225-SC

1 Attorneys for Defendants Netflix Inc., Reed Hastings, David Wells, and Barry McCarthy 2 3 Dated: March 28, 2013 LABATON SUCHAROW LLP Jonathan M. Plasse 4 Stephen W. Tountas Serena Hallowell 5 140 Broadway, 34th Floor New York, NY 10005 6 Telephone: (212) 907-0700 Facsimile: (212) 818-0477 7 8 /s/ Stephen W. Tountas By: Stephen W. Tountas 9 stountas@labaton.com 10 Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiffs 11 I, Rodney G. Strickland, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-12 1(i)(3), I hereby attest that the Stephen W. Tountas has concurred in this filing. 13 14 [PROPOSED] ORDER 15 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 17 1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shall be filed on or before April 24, 2013. 18 2. Lead Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shall be filed on or 19 before May 24, 2013. 20 Defendants' reply memorandum shall be filed on or before June 7, 2013. 3. 21 4. Prior to the filing of the Motion to Dismiss, the parties shall meet and confer 22 regarding a hearing date for the Motion to Dismiss that is convenient for the parties and the 23 Court. 24 25 03/28/2013 DATED: 26 Judge Samuel Conti 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]

SCHEDULING ORDER

CASE No.: 3:12-cv-00225-SC