

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
8 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

9  
10 KIMBERLY YORDY,  
11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 PLIMUS, INC. and GREAT HILL PARTNERS,  
14 LLC.,

15 Defendants.

Case Number 12-cv-00229 NC

**ORDER VACATING BRIEFING  
SCHEDULE**

Re: Docket No. 3

16  
17  
18 In this putative class action brought under California's consumer protection laws,  
19 Plaintiff Yordy moves for class certification. Dkt. No. 3. Defendants have not yet served an  
20 answer to the complaint, and neither party has filed its consent or declination to proceed under  
21 the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge. Because the current briefing schedule for Yordy's motion  
22 requires Defendants to file an opposition before their answer to the complaint is due under  
23 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12, the schedule is VACATED. As this Court cannot hear the  
24 motion without the consent of the parties, each party must file its consent or declination to  
25 proceed under this Court's jurisdiction by January 27, 2012.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: January 20, 2012

28  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NATHANAEL M. COUSINS  
United States Magistrate Judge