Filed: 02/17/12 UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE WIRE HARNESS SYSTEMS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2311

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-1)

On February 7, 2012, the Panel transferred 1 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* _F.Supp.2d_ (J.P.M.L. 2012). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Eastern District of Michigan. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Marianne O Battani.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Michigan and assigned to Judge Battani.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict</u> <u>Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Eastern District of Michigan for the reasons stated in the order of February 7, 2012, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Marianne O Battani.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7–day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted. Feb 17, 2012 CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.



IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE WIRE HARNESS SYSTEMS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2311

SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST DIV. C.A.NO. CASE CAPTION

ALABAMA SOUTHERN

ALS	1	11-00696	Sirmon et al v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al 12-10675				
ARKANSAS EASTERN							
ARE	4	11-00757	Landers Auto Group Number One Inc v. Delphi 12-10676 Automotive Systems LLC et al				
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN							
CAN	3	11-05057	Nicoud v. Furukawa Electric Company LTD et al 12-10677				
CAN	3	11-05057	Budner et al v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al 12-10678				
CAN	3	12-00258					
CAN	4	12-00238					
CAN	4	11-03501	Kelly et al v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al 12-10680				
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA							
DC	1	11-01892	MARTENS CARS OF WASHINGTON INC. v. 12-10681 FURUKAWA ELECTRIC CO., LTD. et al				
LOUISIANA EASTERN							
LAE	2	11-03177	Muscara v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10682				
LAE	2	12-00003	Brock et al v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10683				
LAE	2	12-00048	Keifer v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10684				
LAE	2	12-00062	Byrne et al v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10684				
LAE	2	12-00171	Logofsharz v Dalahi Automativa LLD at al				
	2	12 001/1	Josefsberg V. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al 12-10686				
MINNESOTA							
MN	0	11-03092	Superstore Automotive, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive, LLP et al				
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN							
MSS	3	11-00647	12-10688				

Case MDL No. 2311 Document 183 Filed 02/17/12 Page 3 of 3

Hammett Motor Company, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive LLP et al

PUERTO RICO

PR	3	11-02176	Crespo v. Delphi Automotive LLP et a	d 12-10689
WEST VI	RGINIA	SOUTHERN		
WVS	5	12-00079	Nickell v. Delphi Automotive LLP	12-10690