

1 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
 & DOWD LLP
 2 SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (213113)
 Post Montgomery Center
 3 One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 4 Telephone: 415/288-4545
 415/288-4534 (fax)
 5 shawnw@rgrdlaw.com
 – and –

6 STUART A. DAVIDSON
 MARK DEARMAN
 7 KATHLEEN L. BARBER
 BAILIE L. HEIKKINEN
 8 CHRISTOPHER C. MARTINS
 120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500
 9 Boca Raton, FL 33432
 Telephone: 561/750-3000
 10 561/750-3364 (fax)
 sdavidson@rgrdlaw.com
 11 mdearman@rgrdlaw.com
 kbarber@rgrdlaw.com
 12 bheikkinen@rgrdlaw.com
 cmartins@rgrdlaw.com

LABATON SUCHAROW LLP
 GREGORY S. ASCIOLLA
 ROBIN VAN DER MEULEN
 140 Broadway, 34th Floor
 New York, NY 10005
 Telephone: 212/907-0700
 212/818-0477 (fax)
 gasciolla@labaton.com
 rvandermeulen@labaton.com

13 Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

14 [Additional counsel appear on signature page.]

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18 In re CLOROX CONSUMER LITIGATION) 19 _____) 20 This Document Relates To:) 21 ALL ACTIONS.) 22 _____)	Master File No. 12-cv-00280-SC <u>CLASS ACTION</u> JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULES 6-2 AND 7-12
--	---

23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12 of the United States District Court for the
2 Northern District of California, Plaintiffs Tina Butler-Furr, Susan Doyle, Lori Kowalewski,
3 Catherine Lenz, Kristin Luszczyk, Jose Segarra, and Megan Sterritt (“Plaintiffs”) and The Clorox
4 Company (“Defendant”) stipulate as follows:

5 RECITALS

6 1. Whereas, on April 26, 2013, the Court held a case management conference and
7 entered a minute entry which scheduled a July 26, 2013 hearing on Defendant’s yet-to-be-filed
8 motion for judgment on the pleadings and directed the Parties to calculate their filing dates
9 accordingly. [Dkt. No. 70].

10 2. Whereas, the Parties subsequently agreed to a briefing schedule that allowed
11 Defendant 30 days to file its motion (May 30, 2013) and 30 days for Plaintiffs to respond to the
12 motion (June 27, 2013). The Parties further agreed that the Defendants would have two weeks to
13 file a reply (July 11, 2013).

14 3. Whereas, on May 30, 2013, per the Parties’ agreement, Defendant filed its
15 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. On that same day, the Court generated a briefing
16 schedule which required Responses due by June 13, 2013 and Replies due by June 20, 2013.

17 4. Whereas, pursuant to their original agreement, the Parties seek to extend
18 Plaintiffs’ deadline for submission of their response to Defendant’s Motion for Judgment on the
19 Pleadings by an enlargement of 14 days and Defendant’s reply to Plaintiffs’ response by an
20 enlargement of time of 21 days. If granted, Plaintiffs’ response will be due on June 27, 2013,
21 and Defendant’s reply will be due on July 11, 2013.

22 5. Whereas, Civil Local Rule 6.2 provides, in relevant part, that a stipulated request
23 to extend time must (1) set forth with particularity, the reasons for the requested enlargement or
24 shortening of time; (2) disclose all previous time modifications in the case, whether by
25 stipulation or Court order; and (3) describe the effect the requested time modification would have
26 on the schedule for the case.

1 6. Whereas, (1) the Parties are in need of the extensions of time to file their response
2 and reply based on the numerous arguments raised in the Defendant's Motion that involve the
3 application of multiple state laws. If the requested extension is not granted, the Parties will be
4 prejudiced in their ability to respond and reply to the pending motion. Moreover, (2) there have
5 been no other previous time modifications in the case, and (3) the requested modifications will
6 have no impact on the schedule for the case.

7 7. Whereas, this extension is requested in good faith and not for the purposes of
8 delay.

9 STIPULATION

10 1. The deadline for submission of Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's Motion for
11 Judgment on the Pleadings shall be extended to June 27, 2013;

12 2. The deadline for submission of Defendant's reply shall be extended to July 11,
13 2013.

14
15 Respectfully submitted,

16 DATED: June 7, 2013

17 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
18 & DOWD LLP
19 STUART A. DAVIDSON
20 MARK DEARMAN
21 KATHLEEN L. BARBER
22 BAILIE L. HEIKKINEN
23 CHRISTOPHER C. MARTINS

24
25 *s/ Mark Dearman*
26 _____
27 MARK DEARMAN

28
120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500
Boca Raton, FL 33432
Telephone: 561/750-3000
561/750-3364 (fax)

SHAWN A. WILLIAMS
Post Montgomery Center
One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415/288-4545
415/288-4534 (fax)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
ROBERT M. ROTHMAN
58 South Service Road, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
Telephone: 631/367-7100
631/367-1173 (fax)

LABATON SUCHAROW LLP
GREGORY S. ASCIOLLA
ROBIN VAN DER MEULEN
140 Broadway, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Telephone: 212/907-0700
212/818-0477 (fax)

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

SHEPHARD, FINKELMAN, MILLER
& SHAH, LLP
JAMES C. SHAH
35 East State Street
Media, PA 19063
Telephone: 610/891-9880
610/891-9883 (fax)

HARKE CLASBY & BUSHMAN LLP
LANCE A. HARKE
SARAH C. ENGEL
HOWARD M. BUSHMAN
9699 NE Second Avenue
Miami, FL 33138
Telephone: 305/536-8220
305/536-8229 (fax)

FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP
DAVID E. BOWER
CHRISTOPHER B. HAYES
10866 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1470
Los Angeles, CA 90024
Telephone: 424/256-2884
424/257-2885 (fax)

Members of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee

DATED: June 7, 2013

JENNER & BLOCK LLP
KENNETH K. LEE
KELLY M. MORRISON

s/ Kenneth K. Lee
KENNETH K. LEE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

633 West 5th Street, Suite 3600
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: 213/239-5100
213/239-5199 (fax)

JENNER & BLOCK LLP
DEAN N. PANOS
353 N. Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60654
Telephone: 312/222-9350
312/527-0484 (fax)

Attorneys for Defendant

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12 of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, the Court hereby extends the time for Plaintiffs to file their responses to Defendant’s Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings [Dkt. No.71] until June 27, 2013, and for Defendant to file its reply until July 11, 2013.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 06/07/2013

