

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY and LESLIE TUREK, as
successors in interest to the Estate of
Michael Turek, deceased, and in their
individual capacities,

No. C 12-00444 WHA

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFFS'
RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS'
LETTER BRIEF**

v.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY MEDICAL
CENTER, JUSTIN BIRNBAUM, M.D.
MICHAEL GADBOW, M.D.,
KATHERINE IESEN, M.D., JOY
RUSMINTRATIP, M.D., and CLAIRE
TURCHI, M.D.,

Defendants.

In a letter brief filed on October 8 (Dkt. No. 133), defendants request an order to quash a Rule 45 subpoena issued by plaintiffs on October 4. The subpoena is directed to the custodian of records for Stanford University Medical Center and commands the production of various AMA policies and procedures. Among other reasons, defendants argue that the subpoena is untimely — as the close of discovery has long passed — and that the subpoena directly contradicts the rulings given at the October 7 pretrial conference. As such, plaintiffs shall file a response to defendants' letter brief, addressing in particular the aforementioned arguments. Plaintiffs' response is due by **NOON ON OCTOBER 10.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 9, 2013.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE