	ono malano et al V. Galazar et al	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LESTER J. MARSTON California State Bar No. 081030 RAPPORT AND MARSTON 405 West Perkins Street Ukiah, CA 95482 Telephone: 707-462-6846 Facsimile: 707-462-4235 e-mail: marston1@pacbell.net Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12	HOPLAND BAND OF POMO INDIANS;) ROBINSON RANCHERIA OF POMO)	Case No. CV 12-00556 CRB
13	INDIANS OF CALIFORNIA; COYOTE (COYOTE POMO INDIANS; (COYOTE POMO INDIANS)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
14	REDDING RANCHERIA; and RINCÓN) BAND OF LUISENO MISSION INDIANS)	ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING SCHEDULE.
15	OF THE RINCON RESERVATION, () CALIFORNIA, ()	
16	Plaintiffs,	DATE: September 7, 2012 TIME: 10:00 a.m.
17	vs.	CTRM.: 6, 17 th Floor
18	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (Hon. Charles R. Breyer
19	capacity as the Secretary of the United States Department of the Interior; LARRY	
20	ECHO HAWK, in his official capacity as the) Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs for	
21	the United States Department of the Interior; and DARREN CRUZAN, in his	
22	official capacity as the Deputy Bureau Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Office of	
23	Justice Services,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, and for good cause shown, the parties stipulate as	
27	follows:	
28	Plaintiff's Counsel's daughter was in S:\LJM\Pldgs12\EquitFund\Stip.Modifyingbrfg.sched.wpd 1	stipulation to establish briefing schedule; reduce number of briefs, increase page limits and proposed order in support of motion for summary judgment - case no. cv 12-00556 CRB

1	19, 2012. As a result Counsel for the plaintiffs needs an extension of time to file the		
2	plaintiffs' combined opposition to the defendants' motion for summary judgment and		
3	reply to the defendants' opposition to the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment		
4	("Opposition/Reply Brief"). Counsel for defendants is leaving on a previously		
5	scheduled family vacation on July 21, 2012 and will not be returning until August 12,		
6	2012, and therefore needs additional time to file the defendants' reply to the plaintiffs'		
7	Opposition to the defendants motion for summary judgment.		
8	2. Plaintiffs therefore, shall file their Opposition/Reply brief on or before July		
9	20, 2012.		
10	3. Defendants therefore, shall file their reply to the plaintiffs' Opposition to the		
11	defendants motion for summary judgment on or before August 24, 2012.		
12	4. The hearing in this case presently scheduled for September 7, 2012, shall not		
13	be changed.		
14	5. The Court may enter an appropriate order pursuant to this stipulation.		
15		Respectfully Submitted,	
16	DATED: July 20, 2012	RAPPORT AND MARSTON	
17	_	/s/ Lester J. Marston	
18	By:	Lester J. Marston	
19		Attorney for Plaintiffs	
20	DATED: July 20, 2012	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
21	,,,	/s/ James D. Todd, Jr. (authorized)	
22			
23		James D. Todd, Jr. Attorney for Defendants	
24			
25	ORDER		
26	Having read the above stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing		
27	therefor,		
	therefor,		

briefing schedule, as provided for in the above stipulation, is hereby granted. DATED: July <u>24</u>, 2012

IT IS <u>SO</u> ORDERED Judge Charles R. Breyer