1	MARTHA LUEMERS (SBN 104658) E-MAIL: luemers.martha@dorsey.com DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP		
2			
3	305 Lytton Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94301		
4	Telephone: (650) 857-1717 Facsimile: (650) 857-1288		
5	PAUL T. MEIKLEJOHN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)		
6	E-Mail: meiklejohn.paul@dorsey.com DOUGLAS F. STEWART (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)		
7	E-Mail: stewart.douglas@dorsey.com DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP		
8	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6100 Seattle, WA 98104		
9	Telephone: (206) 903-8800 Facsimile: (206) 903-8820		
10	Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation and Toshiba America Information Systems		
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13			
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	INNOVUS PRIME LLC,	CASE NO. 12-cv-00659-WHO	
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING THE DATE FOR SERVICE	
17	V.	OF INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS AND CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	
18	TOSHIBA CORP. AND TOSHIBA AMERICA INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC,		
19		Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick	
20	Defendants.		
21			
22	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Defendants Toshiba Corporation and Toshib		
23	America Information Systems, Inc. ("Toshiba") and Plaintiff Innovus Prime LLC ("Innovus"		
24	(collectively "the Parties"), hereby stipulate to and request an order extending the due date for		
25	Toshiba to serve its invalidity contentions in this matter, and also stipulate to and request a		
26	extension of claim construction deadlines. This is the second request for a modification of tim		
27	for service of invalidity contentions. Schuettenhelm Decl. at ¶ 3. On March 12, 2013, the		
28	Parties stipulated to an extension of the date for service of invalidity contentions until after the		
	-1- STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING THE DATE FOR SERVICE OF INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS -		
	ISTH OPATION AND ONDER PATEMDING THE DA	TETOR SERVICE OF INVALIDITE CONTENTIONS -	

Case No. 12-cv-00659-WHO

Court decided Toshiba's First Motion to Strike Innovus's Infringement Contentions. Id. at $\P 4$. On May 10, 2013, the Court granted Toshiba's Motion to Strike Innovus's First Amended Infringement Contentions, and ordered Innovus to serve another set of amended infringement contentions. Id. at $\P 5$. The Court also ordered Toshiba to serve its invalidity contentions and accompanying production "45 days after receipt of Innovus's amended infringement contentions." Id. $\P 6$. Pursuant to the Court's Order, Toshiba's invalidity contentions are presently due on August 5, 2013. Id. at $\P 8$.

Toshiba is now preparing to file a Motion to Strike Innovus's Supplemental Amended Infringement Contentions ("Second Motion to Strike"). *Id.* at ¶ 9. Under the Patent Local Rules, service of invalidity contentions is predicated on the service of infringement contentions, (Patent Local Rule 3-3), and, as discussed in Toshiba's Original Motion to Strike, courts in this district generally stay defendant's discovery obligations, including service of invalidity contentions, until issues regarding the sufficiency of infringement contentions are resolved. Dkt. No. 33 at 18. Thus, Toshiba's Second Motion to Strike will request that discovery be stayed until the Motion is resolved. Schuettenhelm Decl. at ¶ 10.

However, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-2, a hearing on the Second Motion to Strike cannot be scheduled until after the August 5, 2013, due date for filing invalidity contentions. *Id.* at ¶ 11. As a result, the presently requested modification will provide time for the Parties to complete the briefing on Toshiba's Second Motion to Strike, as well as for the Court to render its decision on the Second Motion to Strike. *Id.* at ¶ 12. Absent an extension, Toshiba will be placed in the position of having to provide its invalidity contentions before the hearing on the sufficiency of Innovus's infringement contentions. *Id.*

In addition, the current schedule for this case provides deadlines for claim construction activities predicated on the service of infringement contentions and invalidity contentions. *See* Patent Local Rules 4-1-4-5. Accordingly, the parties further request that the Court extend the claim construction deadlines based on the outcome of Toshiba's Second Motion to Strike. The presently requested extension will provide time for the Court to render its decision on Toshiba's

1	DATED: July 22, 2013	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN W. CARPENTER, LLC	
2			
3 4		BY: /S/ John Carpenter_ JOHN W. CARPENTER (SBN 221708) Law Office of John W. Carpenter, LLC	
5		829 Baronne St. New Orleans, LA 70113	
6		(415) 577-0698 john@jwcarpenterlaw.com	
7		Attorney for Plaintiff Innovus Prime LLC	
8			
9	Filer's Attestation of Concurrence by Signatory		
10	I, Paul T. Meiklejohn, counsel for Toshiba Corporation and Toshiba America Information		
11	Systems, Inc., hereby attest that I have obtained the concurrence of John W. Carpenter in the		
12	filing of this document.		
13	_	/s/ Paul T. Meiklejohn	
14		PAUL T. MEIKLEJOHN	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-4- STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING THE DATE FOR SERVICE OF INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS -		
- 1	I DITE CENTION AND ORDER EXTERIDING THE DA	ILIOR SERVICE OF ITAMEDITE CONTENTIONS -	

Case No. 12-cv-00659-WHO

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS ORDERED THAT the August 5, 2013, due date for service of Toshiba's invalidity contentions is hereby extended pending the resolution of Toshiba's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Supplemental Infringement Contentions. The Court further extends the claim construction deadlines pending resolution of Toshiba's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Supplemental Infringement Contentions. The claim construction deadlines shall be extended based on the date that Toshiba's invalidity contentions are due pursuant to the Patent Local Rules.

DATED this 23rd day of July, 2013.

