1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 Northern District of California 4 5 6 JULIO FLORES, et al., 7 No. C-12-686 MEJ Plaintiffs, v. **ORDER RE: CASE MANAGEMENT** 8 NATIONAL RAILROARD PASSENGER REQUESTS 9 CORP. dba AMTRAK, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 The Court is in receipt of the parties' request for a case management conference. Upon 13 review of the parties' request, the Court finds a conference unnecessary and ORDERS as follows: 14 1) To the extent that the parties all seek to extend case management deadlines (including 15 deposing experts), they shall file a stipulation and proposed order; 16 2) To the extent that the parties are unable to reach an agreement regarding any deadlines 17 (including rebuttal expert reports), they shall comply with the undersigned's discovery 18 standing order; 19 3) To the extent that the parties object to the content of expert reports, such objections are 20 properly addressed through rebuttal expert reports, objections filed as part of or in opposition 21 to a summary judgment motion, or in motions in limine; and 22 4) Any party submitting the train's locomotive video (or any other video) shall manually file it 23 in compliance with Civil Local 5-1(f). 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 Dated: May 9, 2013 26 Maria-Elena James United States Magistrate Judge 27 28