

On July 23, 2013, the Court lifted the litigation stay and set a briefing schedule on
Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 63.)
Plaintiff's opposition was due August 5, 2013, but to date, Plaintiff has not filed an
Opposition or Statement of non-opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, as required by Local
Rule 7-3(b).

Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE as to why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff should file a response to the ORDER to SHOW CAUSE, along with a response to the Motion to Dismiss, on or before August 19, 2013. If no response is received, this action may be dismissed with prejudice, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), for failure to prosecute.

United States District Court

Northern District of California	1	IT IS SO ORDERED.
	2	Dated: August 12, 2013
	3	Dated: August 12, 2013 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	4	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		2

United States District Court