## Xerox Corporation v. The Zablocki Corporation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

27

28

v.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

XEROX CORPORATION,

No. C 12-00819 RS

Plaintiff,

THE ZABLOCKI CORPORATION,

Defendant.

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Xerox Corporation moves for default judgment, including entry of a permanent 11 injunction against defendant, The Zablocki Corporation. Although the defendant was served and 12 entered into a stipulation extending the time available to respond to the complaint, it subsequently 13 failed to respond. On July 19, 2012, the Magistrate Judge initially assigned to the case heard the 14 motion, and defendant again did not appear. On July 19, 2012, the Magistrate issued a Report and 15 Recommendation that the motion for default judgment be granted in part, and judgment be entered 16 in plaintiff's favor, in the amount of \$164,095.80 in damages and \$18,046.04 in interest. Dkt. No. 17 17. Because defendant has not consented to jurisdiction, the Magistrate Judge also directed the case 18 be reassigned to a District Judge. No objections have been filed. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. 19 Civ. P. 72(b); N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 72. The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's extensive and 20 thorough report and recommendation, and adopts it here in full. A separate judgment will be 21 entered. 22

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: 8/30/12

RICHARD SEEBORG