Case3:12-cv-00944-JSW Document35 Filed08/02/12 Page1 of 6

1	LEWIS, FEINBERG, LEE, RENAKER & JACKSON, P.C. JEFFREY LEWIS - 066587 jlewis@lewisfeinberg.com BILL LANN LEE - 108452 blee@lewisfeinberg.com ANDREW LAH - 234580 alah@lewisfeinberg.com		
2			
3			
4			
5	SACHA CRITTENDEN STEINBERGER - 253823 ssteinberger@lewisfeinberg.com 476 9 th Street Oakland, CA 94607		
6			
7	Telephone: (510) 839-6824 Facsimile: (510) 839-7839		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff RETIREE SUPPORT GROUP OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY		
9			
10	(See Attached for Additional Counsel)		
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	RETIREE SUPPORT GROUP OF	No. CV 12-00944 (JSW)	
15	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,	STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR	
16	Plaintiff,	FILING OF SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT, VOLUNTARY	
17	V.	PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND RESETTING OF CASE MANAGEMENT	
18	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,	CONFERENCE;[PROPOSED] ORDER	
19	Defendant.		
20		N.D. Local Rule 6-1(a)	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION, REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND [PROPOSE (CASE NO. CV 12-00944 (JSW)	ed] Order	

Case3:12-cv-00944-JSW Document35 Filed08/02/12 Page2 of 6 1 (Additional Counsel) 2 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP RAYMOND F. LYNCH – 119065 3 rlynch@hansonbridgett.com SARAH D. MOTT – 148597 4 smott@hansonbridgett.com JANE FEDDES – Ž82117 jfeddes@hansonbridgett.com 5 425 Market Street, 26th Floor 6 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 777-3200 7 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366 8 COUNTY COUNSEL, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 9 SHARON L. ANDERSON - 94814 sharon.anderson@cc.cccou -nty.us MARY ANN McNETT MASÓN - 115089 10 maryann.mason@cc.cccounty.us 651 Pine St., 9th Floor 11 Martinez, CA 94553 Telephone: (925) 335-1800 12 Facsimile: (925)646-1078 13 Attorneys for Defendant 14 CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

STIPULATION, REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND [Proposed] ORDER (Case No. CV 12-00944 (JSW)

Plaintiff Retiree Association of Contra Costa County ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Contra Costa County ("County" or "Defendant") ("the Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On July 10, 2012, the Court issued its Order Granting Motion to Dismiss (Dkt 32), allowing Plaintiff leave to amend its complaint by August 10, 2012. Under the Order, Plaintiff may file a stipulation to extend the deadline if it needs "additional time to discover the ordinances and resolutions upon which its members' claims are predicated." *Id.* at 5.
- 2. On July 13, 2012, the Parties met and conferred regarding the Court's Order. Plaintiff informed the County it intends to file a Second Amended Complaint and that it requires additional time to obtain and review additional documents. The County stated it is not opposed to an extension of time. The Parties discussed possible voluntary production of documents by the County. The Parties agreed to participate in a second meet and confer session after Plaintiff provided the County with a list of requested documents.
- 3. On July 17, 2012, Plaintiff provided the County with its list of requested documents:
 - 1. All Memoranda of Understanding and all resolutions ratifying the MOUs;
 - 2. All resolutions and attachments to the resolutions relating to retiree health benefits;
 - 3. All documents relating to the adoption, interpretation, or implementation of any resolution or MOU regarding retiree health benefits, including but not limited to job announcements and postings, employee handbooks, staff memoranda prepared for the Board, and cost analyses of retiree health care;
 - 4. All board meeting minutes, agenda, and attachments relating to retiree health benefits;
 - 5. All recordings of Board of Supervisor meetings.
- 4. On July 20, 2012, the Parties met and conferred regarding those requests. Plaintiff stated it was requesting documents dating back to January 1, 1970. The County agreed voluntarily to provide extant non-privileged documents responsive to Request Nos. 1, 2, 4 and 5 back to January 1970. Relating to Request No. 3, the County also agrees to produce any other non-privileged, public documents that were provided to the Board of Supervisors accompanying

Case3:12-cv-00944-JSW Document35 Filed08/02/12 Page5 of 6

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED between the Parties.		
2	DATED A 42 2012 LEWIS FEINDEDS LEE DENAMED S		
3	DATED: August 2, 2012 LEWIS, FEINBERG, LEE, RENAKER & JACKSON, P.C.		
4	By:/s/ Jeffrey Lewis		
5	Jeffrey Lewis		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RETIREE SUPPORT GROUP OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY		
7			
8	DATED: August 2, 2012 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP		
9	By:/s/ Raymond F. Lynch		
10	Raymond F. Lynch Sarah D. Mott		
11 12	Attorneys for Defendant CONTRA COSTA COUNTY		
13			
14	ORDER		
15	PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION:		
16	Plaintiff is granted additional time to file its amended complaint.		
17	2. The schedule set forth by the Parties is acceptable.		
18	3. For good cause shown, the Court resets the Case Management Conference to		
19	February 1, 2013 at 1:30 p.m.		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21			
22	a Coll Olde		
23	Dated: August 6, 2012 HIN FEFFEY S. WHITE		
24	Inited States Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28	- 5 -		
	STIPULATION, REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

(CASE No. CV 12-00944 (JSW)