

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE
ASSOCIATION, a/k/a FANNIE MAE,

No. C 12-949 SI

Plaintiff,

**ORDER REMANDING CASE TO
SUPERIOR COURT FOR COUNTY OF
SONOMA**

v.

NICOLAS PORTILLO, *et al.*,

Defendants.

13 The Court has reviewed defendant Portillo's response to the Order to Show Cause Why Case
14 Should Not Be Remanded to State Court for Lack of Jurisdiction. Defendant asserts that this Court has
15 jurisdiction over the unlawful detainer complaint because the complaint originally could have been filed
16 in this Court. Defendant is incorrect.

17 Under the well-pleaded complaint rule, the basis for removal jurisdiction must be evident from
18 the complaint. *See Franchise Tax Bd. of California v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust for So.*
19 *California*, 463 U.S. 1, 9-12 (1983). There are no federal claims alleged in the complaint. Where there
20 is no federal question jurisdiction, an action is not removable on the basis of diversity of citizenship if
21 the defendant is a citizen of the state in which the action was brought. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), (b).
22 Here, defendants are California residents, and thus removal was improper.

23 Accordingly, this case is REMANDED to the Superior Court for the County of Sonoma.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: March 9, 2012



27
28
SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge