1	SEDGWICK LLP REBECCA A. HULL BAR No. 99802	
2	rebecca.hull@sedgwicklaw.com ERIN A. CORNELL Bar No. 227135	
3	erin.cornell@sedgwicklaw.com 333 Bush Street, 30th Floor	
4	San Francisco, California 94104	
5	Telephone: (415) 781-7900 Facsimile: (415) 781-2635	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE	CASE NO. CV 12-1053 EMC
13	COMPANY,	UPDATED CASE MANAGEMENT
14	Plaintiff,	CONFERENCE STATEMENT ORDER RESETTING FURTHER CMC
15	V.	DATE: November 2, 2012 TIME: 10:30 a.m.
16	NATISHA ROBINSON-LEE, T.L., a	CRTM: 5, 17th Floor
17	minor, and R.L., a minor,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company ("MetLife") provides the following updated case
21	management conference statement, with regard to the currently scheduled further case	
22	management conference. As the parties informed	ed the Court they would do (at the case
23	management conference held on July 31, 2012 a	and in their supplemental case management
24	conference statement filed on August 29, 2012 ((ECF No. 15)), the parties have been engaged
25	in discussions regarding possible ways to resolv	ve the issues so that the life insurance benefits
26	can be paid out.	
27	An agreement has been reached between MetLife and Ms. Robinson-Lee, but the	
28	parties require additional time in which to finalize matters. Communications with Ms.	
SF/3183887v1		

1	Robinson-Lee have been slow and difficult, due to lack of electronic communication	
2	capability. MetLife also has been in contact, however, with an attorney who reportedly is	
3	preparing guardianship papers so that Ms. Robinson-Lee's children (the two minor defendants	
4	in the litigation) can receive the life insurance benefits.	
5	MetLife believes that the matter will be concluded, from standpoint of the litigation,	
6	within the next 30 days. MetLife therefore requests that the Court continue the pending case	
7	management conference for an additional 30 days, to permit the ancillary procedures, such as	
8	establishment of a guardianship for the minor defendants, to be completed, thereby allowing	
9	the litigation to be dismissed.	
10		
11	DATED: October 26, 2012 SEDGWICK LLP	
12	By: <u>/s/ Erin A. Cornell</u> Rebecca A. Hull	
13	Erin A. Cornell Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED that the Further CMC is reset from 11/2/12 to Thursday 1/17/13	
17	at 10:30 a.m. An updated joint CMC Statement shall be filed by 1/10/13.	
18	ATES DISTRICT	
19	Edward M. Chen S	
20	United States District Judge	
21	TIT IS SO ONE	
22	Z Contraction Z	
23	Z Judge Edward M. Chen	
24		
25	DISTRICT OF CE	
26		
27		
28		
SF/3183887v1	2	

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2 3	I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Sedgwick LLP, 333 Bush Street, 30 th Floor, San Francisco, California 94104. On October 26, 2012, I served the within document(s):		
4	UPDATED CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT		
5 6	FACSIMILE - by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth on the attached Telecommunications Cover Page(s) on this date before 5:00 p.m.		
7	 ELECTRONIC MAIL - by emailing the document to defendant at email address as set forth below. 		
8	□ PERSONAL SERVICE - by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.		
9 10	OVERNIGHT COURIER - by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with shipping prepaid, and depositing in a collection box for next day delivery to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below via .		
11	Natisna N. Robinson-Lee Defendant 222 Ward Street San Francisco, CA 94134 Electronic meil: traurdice1@values.com		
12 13			
14	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
15			
16			
17 18	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on October 26, 2012, at San Francisco, California.		
19	Sharice Valenzuela		
20	Sharice Valenzuela		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	PROOF OF SERVICE SF/2861872v1		