Dockets.Justia.com

individual liability will be explored during the 2004 exam.

- 1. Good Cause exists for the request: This action arises under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), as amended by the Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980 (29 U.S.C §§1001-1461 (1982)), to recover withdrawal liability amounts owed by Tractor Equipment Sales, Inc. and its controlled group members to plaintiffs Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, F.G. Crosthwaite, and Russell E. Burns ("Plaintiffs").
 - 2. On March 1, 2012, plaintiffs filed a Complaint in this matter.
- 3. Service was effectuated on defendants Tractor Equipment Sales, Inc., a California corporation, J.L. White International, Inc., a California corporation, and TES Asset Management and Consulting Group, a California joint venture, by personal service on Jim White, authorized agent and/or officer for each defendant on April 9, 2012. A proof of service was filed on April 19, 2012. (Dkt. # 10)
- 4. Defendant Tractor Equipment Sales, a California corporation, filed a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, on May 14, 2012. On June 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Automatic Stay Under 11 U.S.C. Section 362 as to defendant Tractor Equipment Sales, Inc. only. (Dkt. #15) Further, plaintiffs' counsel made an appearance at the Meeting of Creditors wherein plaintiffs' counsel conferred with counsel for defendant Tractor Equipment Sales, a California corporation and the Bankruptcy Trustee. Plaintiffs' counsel will be conducting a Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure \$2004 Exam of principal Steven Van Tuyl on December 3, 2012 to determine whether or not plaintiffs will be adding defendants to this instant action and to determine whether plaintiffs may proceed by default against defendant TES Asset Management and Consulting Group.
- 5. On May 29, 2012, plaintiffs requested that the Case Management Conference scheduled on June 22, 2012, and all of its associated deadlines, be continued for 60 days in anticipation of plaintiffs filing a Request for Entry of Default against Defendant and/or amending the complaint to add new defendants. (Dkt. 12)

6.	On May 30, 2012, the Motion to Continue Case Management was granted by the
Court and the	Case Management Conference was continued to August 24, 2012 at 1:30 pm. (Dkt.
#13)	

- 7. On June 19, 2012, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Defendant J.L. White International, Inc. only. (Dkt. 16)
- 8. On July 25, 2012, plaintiffs filed a Request for Default of TES Asset Management and Consulting Group, a California joint venture, only. (Dkt. # 17)
- 9. On July 30, 2012, plaintiffs requested that the Case Management Conference scheduled on August 24, 2012, and all of its associated deadlines, be continued for 90 days in anticipation of plaintiffs filing a Motion for Default as to defendant TES Asset Management and Consulting Group, a California joint venture. (Dkt. # 18)
- 10. On August 1, 2012, the Motion to Continue Case Management was granted by the Court and the Case Management Conference was continued to December 7, 2012 at 1:30 pm. (Dkt. #22).
- 11. Debtors (Defendants to this action) have stalled in providing documents that would enable Plaintiffs (Creditors in the bankruptcy action) to evaluate whether it may proceed against TES Asset Management and Consulting Group and to determine whether there may be additional defendants with liability in this matter.
- 12. Plaintiffs have now sought and received an Order from the bankruptcy court granting document production and a 2004 exam to be held on December 3, 2012 (the earliest available date for debtor's bankruptcy counsel).
- 13. Since no defendants have appeared in the action, there is no need to hold a Case Management Conference or elect an ADR procedure.
- 14. Therefore, plaintiffs respectfully request that the Case Management Conference currently scheduled for December 7, 2012, and all of its associated deadlines, be continued for 90 days in anticipation of Plaintiffs conducting discovery in the bankruptcy proceeding and thereafter analyzing the information received in order to determine whether it may proceed with a Motion

- 1	
1	for Default Judgment as to TES Asset Management and Consulting Group and whether it has
2	grounds for amending its complaint to add additional defendants.
3	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am the attorney for the plaintiffs in the above
4	entitled action, and that the foregoing is true of my own knowledge.
5	Executed this 7th day of November, 2012, at San Francisco, California.
6	SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
7	D (C)
8	By: /S/ Julie A. Ostil
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	<u>ORDER</u>
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	Based on the foregoing, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the currently set Case
14	Management Conference is hereby continued to February 22, 2013 at 1:30 p.m. All related
15	deadlines, including ADR deadlines, are extended accordingly.
16	
17	Date: November 8, 2012 THE HONOR ALL JEFFEY S. WHITE
18	UNITED STATES DUSTRICT JUDGE
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	