

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

NETWORK PROTECTION SCIENCES,
LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FORTINET, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:12-CV-01106-WHA

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
FORTINET, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS
UNDER SEAL IN SUPPORT OF
FORTINET, INC.'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

1 **PROPOSED ORDER**

2 Before the Court is Defendant Fortinet, Inc.’s (“Fortinet”) Administrative Motion
3 to File Documents Under Seal in Support of Fortinet, Inc.’s Motion For Summary Judgment,
4 requesting that Exhibits 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, and 12 to the Declaration of Andrew M. Holmes in support
5 of Fortinet’s Motion for Summary Judgment be filed under seal.

6 After considering Fortinet’s motion and supporting evidence, and it appearing to
7 the Court that good cause exists for the granting of the motion, Fortinet’s motion is hereby
8 GRANTED.

9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the following documents, which have been
10 lodged with this Court, be filed under seal:

- 11 1. **Exhibit 2** to the Declaration of Andrew M. Holmes in support of Fortinet’s
- 12 Motion for Summary Judgment;
- 13 2. **Exhibit 3** to the Declaration of Andrew M. Holmes in support of Fortinet’s
- 14 Motion for Summary Judgment;
- 15 3. **Exhibit 4** to the Declaration of Andrew M. Holmes in support of Fortinet’s
- 16 Motion for Summary Judgment;
- 17 4. **Exhibit 5** to the Declaration of Andrew M. Holmes in support of Fortinet’s
- 18 Motion for Summary Judgment;
- 19 5. **Exhibit 10** to the Declaration of Andrew M. Holmes in support of
- 20 Fortinet’s Motion for Summary Judgment; and
- 21 6. **Exhibit 12** to the Declaration of Andrew M. Holmes in support of
- 22 Fortinet’s Motion for Summary Judgment.

23
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: August 5, 2013.



26 _____
27 Hon. William H. Alsup
28 United States District Court Judge