

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11
12

13 NETWORK PROTECTION SCIENCES,
14 LLC,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 FORTINET, INC.,

18 Defendant.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CASE NO. 3:12-CV-01106-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS
UNDER SEAL**

(DKT. NO. 262)

1 On September 5, 2013, Plaintiff Network Protection Sciences, LL (“NPS”) filed an
2 administrative motion for leave to file documents under seal. Dkt. No. 262. Specifically, NPS
3 seeks to file under seal (i) portions of Network Protection Sciences, LLC’s Opposition to Fortinet,
4 Inc.’s Motion to Strike and Exclude Testimony of John C. Jarosz; and (ii) Exhibit 1 to the
5 Declaration of David R. Owens in Support thereof.

6 On September 12, 2013, pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(d), Defendant Fortinet filed a
7 declaration explaining its position that these materials do not need to be maintained under seal.

8 Accordingly, Plaintiff’s motion is DENIED.

9
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12
13 Dated: September 25, 2013.



14 Hon. William H. Alsup

15 United States District Court Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28