

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

NETWORK PROTECTION SCIENCES,
LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FORTINET, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:12-CV-01106-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 On September 18, 2013, Plaintiff Network Protection Sciences, LLC (“NPS”) filed an
2 administrative motion for leave to file documents under seal. Dkt. No. 311. Specifically, NPS
3 seeks to file under seal Exhibits 4A, 4B, 4C, 8, 9, 10, 20, 33, 34, and 41 to the Declaration of Jill
4 F. Kopeikin in Support of Network Protection Sciences, LLC’s Trial Brief.

5 On September 24, 2013, pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(d), Defendant Fortinet filed a
6 Declaration in Support of NPS’s Administrative Motion, respectfully requesting that certain of the
7 above-referenced exhibits be filed under seal, namely Exhibits 4A, 4C, 8, 9, 10, 20, and 41.


8 After reviewing the documents in question, and the declaration in support, the Court
9 concludes that good cause exists to seal the requested Exhibits 4A, 4C, 8, 9, 10, 20, and 41 to the
10 Declaration of Jill F. Kopeikin in Support of Network Protection Sciences, LLC’s Trial Brief.

11 Plaintiff’s are directed to electronically file the documents under seal pursuant to General
12 Order 62. Information about electronically filing documents under seal may be found on the
13 Court’s website (<http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>) as well as the ECF website for this Court
14 (<http://ecf.cand.uscourts.gov/index.html>).

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 2, 2013.



Hon. William H. Alsup
United States District Court Judge