

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of California
San Francisco Division

ROBERT W. CRITCHLOW,

No. C 12-01198 LB

Plaintiff,

**ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S
OPPOSITION BRIEF**

v.

KATE E. CRITCHLOW, an individual, and
JOHN A. WANER, an individual, and DOES
1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

On January 7, 2013, Defendants Kate Critchlow and John Waner filed separate motions to dismiss Plaintiff Robert Critchlow's Second Amended Complaint. *See* ECF Nos. 43 & 44. On January 22, 2013, the day his opposition briefing was due, Plaintiff filed a stipulation and proposed order requesting a two-day extension and seeking leave to exceed the page limits in his briefing. *See* Stipulation with Proposed Order, ECF No. 45. The stipulation and proposed order was unclear about the number of additional pages requested. *See Id.*

That day, the court granted Plaintiff's request for more time. *See* Order, ECF No. 46. With regard to the additional pages, the court denied the motion "to the extent it [sought] leave to exceed the page limits for two separate opposition briefs" and granted the motion "[t]o the extent it [sought] leave to file one combined opposition brief of up to 32 pages" Order, ECF No. 46 at 2.

Plaintiff timely filed a combined opposition brief on January 24, 2013. *See* ECF No. 47. The opposition exceeds the allowed page limit and contains no table of contents or table of authorities as

1 required by Civil Local Rule 7-4(a)(2). Accordingly, the court **STRIKES** the opposition brief.
2 Plaintiff has until 2:00 p.m. today, January 25, 2013, to file an opposition that fully complies with
3 the local rules and this court's order. Defendants' reply deadlines remain the same.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: January 25, 2013

6 
7 LAUREL BEELER
United States Magistrate Judge

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28