

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

21

22

23

24

25

This action has been pending since March, 2012, without significant substantive progress. No
appearance has been made by or on behalf of defendant, and prior Case Management Conferences have
been postponed or cancelled at plaintiff's request. In particular, counsel for plaintiff has recently
requested that he be allowed "approximately 50 days . . . to allow counsel to obtain the requisite
authority and instructions to proceed from Plaintiff and its bankruptcy administrator in Korea."

However, no information of any sort has been provided to the Court, warranting such delay. Although counsel asserts that even a dismissal without prejudice "could result in the attachment effectively being vacated and the security lost," no information has been provided about the status, the security, the amount of the debt involved or why a dismissal without prejudice would not suffice.

 26
 Accordingly, plaintiff is ORDERED to file, on or before October 15, 2014, a declaration,

 27
 Image: Accordingly for the second se

1	
2	
3	effected," including how, when and where such attachment occurred; the nature of the "security"
4	which is put at risk by a dismissal without prejudice; and a clear time-line when this matter may
5	either be actively litigated or dismissed.
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: September 30, 2014 Suran Delston
9	SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge
10	Office States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2