

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 TIMOTHY PEOPLES, JR., H-63933,)
10 Plaintiff(s),) No. C 12-1281 CRB (PR)
11 v.) ORDER OF SERVICE
12 MATTHEW CATE, et al.,) (Docket #2)
13 Defendant(s).)
14

15 Plaintiff, a prisoner at Salinas Valley State Prison (SVSP), has filed a pro
16 se complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging retaliation in response to his filing
17 inmate grievances. He seeks declaratory, injunctive and monetary relief. He also
18 seeks appointment of counsel.

19 **DISCUSSION**

20 A. Standard of Review

21 Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which
22 prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a
23 governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must identify cognizable
24 claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint
25 "is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be
26 granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such
27 relief." Id. § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed, however.
28 Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

1 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two
2 essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the
3 United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a
4 person acting under the color of state law. West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48
5 (1988).

6 B. Legal Claims

7 Plaintiff alleges that various California Department of Corrections and
8 Rehabilitation (CDCR) and SVSP officials retaliated against him for filing
9 inmate grievances by, among other things, using excessive force against him,
10 refusing to act on his grievances and appeals, and depriving him of necessary
11 medical care.

12 It is well established that prison officials may not retaliate against a
13 prisoner for filing inmate grievances. See Rhodes v. Robinson, 408 F.3d 559,
14 567 (9th Cir. 2005); Bruce v. Ylst, 351 F.3d 1283, 1288 (9th Cir. 2003).

15 Liberally construed, plaintiff's allegations appear to state cognizable retaliation
16 claims under § 1983 against the named defendants and will be ordered served.

17 C. Motion for Appointment of Counsel

18 Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (docket # 2) is DENIED for
19 lack of exceptional circumstances. See Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017
20 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986).

21 The court will consider appointment of counsel on its own motion, and seek
22 volunteer counsel to agree to represent plaintiff pro bono, if it determines at a
23 later time in the proceedings that appointment of counsel is warranted.

24 /

25 /

26 /

1 **CONCLUSION**

2 For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

3 1. The clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall
4 serve, without prepayment of fees, copies of the complaint in this matter, all
5 attachments thereto, and copies of this order on the named defendants. The clerk
6 also shall serve a copy of this order on plaintiff.

7 2. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the court orders as
8 follows:

9 a. No later than 90 days from the date of this order, defendants
10 shall serve and file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion.
11 A motion for summary judgment must be supported by adequate factual
12 documentation and must conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil
13 Procedure 56, and must include as exhibits all records and incident reports
14 stemming from the events at issue. A motion for summary judgment also must
15 be accompanied by a Rand notice so that plaintiff will have fair, timely and
16 adequate notice of what is required of him in order to oppose the motion. Woods
17 v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934, 935 (9th Cir. 2012) (notice requirement set out in Rand
18 v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998), must be served concurrently with
19 motion for summary judgment). A motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust
20 available administrative remedies similarly must be accompanied by a Wyatt
21 notice. Id. (notice requirement set out in Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108 (9th
22 Cir. 2003), must be served concurrently with motion to dismiss for failure to
23 exhaust available administrative remedies).

24 If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by
25 summary judgment or other dispositive motion, they shall so inform the court
26 prior to the date their motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be
27

1 served promptly on plaintiff.

2 b. Plaintiff must serve and file an opposition or statement of
3 non-opposition to the dispositive motion not more than 28 days after the motion
4 is served and filed.

5 c. Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary judgment
6 under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your
7 case. Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for
8 summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there
9 is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is no real dispute about any
10 fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary
11 judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case.
12 When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is
13 properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply
14 rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in
15 declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents,
16 as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradicts the facts shown in the defendant's
17 declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material
18 fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary
19 judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is
20 granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. Rand v. Rowland,
21 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) (App. A).

22 Plaintiff also is advised that a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust
23 available administrative remedies under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) will, if granted,
24 end your case, albeit without prejudice. You must "develop a record" and present
25 it in your opposition in order to dispute any "factual record" presented by the
26 defendants in their motion to dismiss. Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120

1 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003).

2 (The Rand and Wyatt notices above do not excuse defendants' obligation
3 to serve said notices again concurrently with motions to dismiss for failure to
4 exhaust available administrative remedies and motions for summary judgment.
5 Woods, 684 F.3d at 935.)

6 d. Defendants must serve and file a reply to an opposition not
7 more than 14 days after the opposition is served and filed.

8 e. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the
9 reply is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a
10 later date.

11 3. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of
12 Civil Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
13 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

14 4. All communications by plaintiff with the court must be served on
15 defendants, or defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing
16 a true copy of the document to defendants or defendants' counsel.

17 5. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must
18 keep the court and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply
19 with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the
20 dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

21 SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: Dec. 10, 2012



23 CHARLES R. BREYER
24 United States District Judge