1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GREGORY P. BARNES, ET AL.,	No. 3:12-cv-01334-CRB
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REJECTING SEPARATE SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS
13	v.	FILED IN VIOLATION OF STANDING ORDERS
14	THE HERSHEY COMPANY,	ORDERS
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Defendant filed three partial motions for summary judgment on September 1, 2015.	
18	See Motion on Pattern and Practice and Discrimination Claims (dkt. 277); Motion on Jerry	
19	Chapman's Claims (dkt. 278); Motion on Barnes and Bolle's Claims (dkt. 279). This Court's	
20	standing orders state that "[e]ach party is limited to filing one summary judgment motion.	
21	Any party wishing to exceed this limit must request leave of the Court and must show good	
22	cause." Defendant has not shown good cause for filing separate motions, the filings are	
23	REJECTED, and this Court directs defendant to file a new motion that conforms to the	
24	standing orders. The hearing and briefing dates associated with the motions are VACATED.	

25 IT SO ORDERED.

26

27

28

Dated: September 4, 2015

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE