

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KOREY HOLLINQUEST,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
G.D. LEWIS, Warden,  
Respondent.

No. C 12-1347 JSW (PR)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION;  
VACATING DISMISSAL AND  
JUDGMENT REOPENING  
CASE; TO SHOW CAUSE**

(Docket No. 7)

**INTRODUCTION**

Petitioner, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this habeas action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The case was dismissed on April 26, 2012, on the grounds that Petitioner had not paid the filing fee or a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”). Unbeknownst to the Court, however, Petitioner had filed an IFP application; he has also paid the filing fee. Accordingly, his motion to reconsider and vacate the dismissal of this case is GRANTED, and the Clerk shall REOPEN the file. For the reasons discussed below, Respondent is ordered to show cause why the petition should not be granted.

**BACKGROUND**

In 2008, Petitioner was convicted by a jury in Contra Costa County Superior Court of one count of first-degree murder and one count of robbery. The trial court sentenced

1 him to a term of life in prison without the possibility of parole. The California Court of  
2 Appal affirmed the judgment and on the same day denied a petition for a writ of habeas  
3 corpus. The California Supreme Court denied a petition for review.

## 4 DISCUSSION

### 5 I Standard of Review

6 This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus “in behalf of a  
7 person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is  
8 in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” 28  
9 U.S.C. § 2254(a). It shall “award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to  
10 show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that  
11 the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto.” *Id.* § 2243.

### 12 II Legal Claims

13 As grounds for federal habeas relief, Petitioner claims: (1) the prosecutor  
14 concealed exculpatory evidence; (2) the prosecutor knowingly presented false testimony;  
15 and (3) the prosecutor used Petitioner’s post-arrest and post-*Miranda* silence against him.  
16 Petitioner’s claims are sufficient to warrant a response from Respondent.

## 17 CONCLUSION

18 For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

19 1. The motion for reconsideration (docket number 7) is GRANTED. The order of  
20 dismissal and judgment are VACATED.

21 2. The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order and the petition, and  
22 all attachments thereto, on Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General  
23 of the State of California. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.

24 3. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within **ninety-one**  
25 **(91)** days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of  
26 the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus  
27 should not be granted based upon the claims found cognizable above. Respondent shall  
28 file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record

1 that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues  
2 presented by the petition. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by  
3 filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **twenty-eight (28)**  
4 days of the date the answer is filed.

5 4. Respondent may, within **ninety-one (91)** days of the date this order is filed, file  
6 a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the  
7 Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If  
8 Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on  
9 Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within **twenty-eight (28)** days  
10 of the date the motion is filed, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on  
11 Petitioner a reply within **fourteen (14)** days of the date any opposition is filed.

12 4. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep  
13 the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice  
14 of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion.  
15 Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant  
16 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

17 The Clerk shall reopen the file.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED: June 19, 2012

20   
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
23 United States District Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
4

5 KOREY HOLLINQUEST,  
6  
7 Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV12-01347 JSW

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

8 v.

9 G D LEWIS et al,  
10 Defendant.  
11

12 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District  
Court, Northern District of California.

13 That on June 19, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said  
14 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing  
15 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery  
receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

16  
17  
18 Korey Hollinquest G53752  
19 Pelican Bay State Prison  
P.O. Box 7500  
Crescent City, CA 95532

20 Dated: June 19, 2012

  
Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28