For the Northern District of California

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	In Re No. C-12-1353 EMC (pr)
9	KENNETH ARDELL SMITH,
10	Plaintiff. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
11	/
12	
13	On July 10, 2012, mail was sent from the Court to Plaintiff at the address he provided on his
14	complaint and was returned undelivered on July 19, 2012, marked "return to sender" and "not
15	deliverable as addressed, unable to forward." More than sixty days have passed since the mail was
16	returned to the Court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which
17	requires that a party proceeding pro se must "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all
18	opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address" when his address
19	changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the Court to dismiss a complaint without prejudice when mail
20	directed to a <i>pro se</i> party is returned as not deliverable and the <i>pro se</i> party fails to send a current
21	address within sixty days of the return of the undelivered mail. This action is dismissed without
22	prejudice because Plaintiff failed to keep the Court informed of his address in compliance with

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Local Rule 3-11(a). The Clerk shall close the file.

Dated: December 21, 2012

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge