1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	VINAY KARAMSETTY,	
7	Plaintiff,	ase No. <u>12-cv-01364-JCS</u>
8		DDED DE CEALINIC ODDED ON
9	N	RDER RE SEALING ORDER ON IOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
10	WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, et al., Defendants.	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	The Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, dated August 7, 2013,	
14	has been filed under seal. Dkt. No. 61. The Court intends to publish the entire opinion in the	
15	public record within ten (10) days of the date of this Order. The Court will publish the opinion	
16	unless the party seeking to redact portions overcomes the "strong presumption in favor of access"	
17	to the courts with "compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings." Kamakana v. City	
18	& Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal citations and quotations	
19	omitted). If any party believes that the Court's opinion contains any confidential information	
20	entitled to protection, that party shall file with the Court a declaration establishing such	
21	entitlement and any proposed redactions not later than five (5) days from the date of this Order.	
22	Objections to proposed redactions, if any, may be filed not later than eight (8) days from the date	
23	of this Order.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: August 7, 2013	
26	acar	
27	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge	
28		-