

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of California

San Francisco Division

HOME SAVINGS OF AMERICA, et al.,

No. C 12-01419 LB

Plaintiffs,

v.

EMELITA FELIPE, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER (1) REFERRING CASE TO A
RANDOMLY-ASSIGNED
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR A
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AND
(2) RESETTling CERTAIN CASE
MANAGEMENT DATES**

The court held a further case management conference on March 7, 2013. *See* 3/7/2013 Minute Order, ECF No. 49. At the conference, the court and the parties discussed the possibility of the parties' participation in a settlement conference with a magistrate judge. The court and the parties also discussed the parties' competing proposals for adjusting some of the case management dates in this action. The court tabled these decisions and directed the parties to file a joint case management conference statement by March 14, 2013 with further elaboration on these matters.

On March 14, 2013, the parties did so. Regarding a possible settlement conference, Defendant Cal Coast states that it is fine with a settlement conference before a randomly-assigned magistrate judge, but Plaintiff states that it "is agreeable to random selection of a neutral familiar with banking/financial services from the Northern District's ADR Panel of mediators." Plaintiff does not say anything about a settlement conference with a magistrate judge, despite the court's request that it do so. *See* 3/7/2013 Minute Order, ECF No. 49. And Defendant Reyes does not appear to have an opinion on the matter.

1 Under these circumstances, the court **REFERS** the instant action for a settlement conference
 2 before a randomly-assigned magistrate judge.

3 Regarding the adjustments to some of the case management dates in this action, Cal Coast
 4 suggests, in light of the March 24, 2014 trial date, that the expert disclosure deadline be set on
 5 October 4, 2013. It does not suggest any other new dates. Plaintiff agrees that the expert disclosure
 6 deadline be set on October 4, 2013, and it also suggests that the non-expert discovery deadline be set
 7 on September 4, 2013, the rebuttal expert disclosure deadline be set for November 4, 2013, the
 8 expert discovery completion date be set on December 4, 2013, and the last day for hearing
 9 dispositive motions be set on February 6, 2014 at 11:00 a.m. Again, Defendant Reyes does not
 10 appear to have an opinion on the matter.

11 Upon consideration of the parties' suggestions, the court **SETS** the following dates:

Case Event	Filing Date/Disclosure Deadline/Hearing Date
Non-expert discovery completion date	9/4/2013
Expert disclosures required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	10/4/2013
Rebuttal expert disclosures	11/4/2013
Expert discovery completion date	12/4/2013
Last hearing date for dispositive motions and/or further case management conference	2/6/2014, at 11:00 a.m.
Meet and confer re pretrial filings	2/11/2014
Pretrial filings due	2/20/2014
Oppositions, Objections, Exhibits, and Depo Designations due	2/27/2014
Final Pretrial Conference	3/13/2014, at 10:30 a.m.
Trial	3/24/2014, at 8:30 a.m.
Length of Trial	5 days

25 In addition, the court **SETS** a Further Case Management Conference for July 11, 2013 at 10:30
 26 a.m. in Courtroom C, 15th Floor, United States District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San
 27 Francisco, California, 94102. The parties shall file a joint case management conference statement
 28 no later than July 6, 2013.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 19, 2013



LAUREL BEELER
United States Magistrate Judge