25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 PERFECT 10, INC., No. C 12-01521 WHA 11 Plaintiff, 12 **ORDER DENYING** v. REQUEST TO FILE 13 YANDEX N.V., et al., **SUR-REPLY** 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff has filed a request for leave to file a sur-reply and an accompanying motion to 17 seal (Dkt. Nos. 170–73). Upon review of the material provisionally under seal, it is clear that the 18 proposed sur-reply is unnecessary. The request is accordingly **DENIED**. The accompanying 19 motion to seal is **DENIED AS MOOT**. 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 Dated: July 1, 2013. 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE