

1 Paul R. Kiesel, State Bar No. 119854
kiesel@kbla.com
2 Jeffrey A. Koncius, State Bar No. 189803
koncius@kbla.com
3 KIESEL BOUCHER LARSON LLP
8648 Wilshire Boulevard
4 Beverly Hills, California 90211-2910
Tel: 310-854-4444
5 Fax: 310-854-0812
Paul O. Paradis, Esq., *Pro Hac Vice*
6 *pparadis@hhplawny.com*
Gina M. Tufaro, Esq., *Pro Hac Vice*
7 *gtufaro@hhplawny.com*
Mark A. Butler, Esq.
8 *mbutler@hhplawny.com*
HORWITZ, HORWITZ & PARADIS,
9 Attorneys at Law
570 7th Avenue, 20th Floor
10 New York, NY 10018
Tel: 212-986-4500
11 Fax: 212-986-4501

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff SORAYA ROSS,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly
13 situated

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17	SORAYA ROSS, individually and on behalf of)	Case No.: CV 12-1645 EMC
	all others similarly situated,)	
18	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION RE EXTENSION OF
	vs.)	TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE HER
19	vs.)	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
20)	MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S
)	THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION
21	SIOUX HONEY ASSOCIATION,)	COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER
	COOPERATIVE, an Iowa Entity,)	
22	Defendant.)	Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen
23)	Complaint Filed: April 2, 2012
24)	
)	

1 **WHEREAS**, on September 11, 2012, Plaintiff filed Plaintiff’s Third Amended Class
2 Action Complaint (the “TAC”);

3 **WHEREAS**, on September 26, 2012, the parties filed a stipulation requesting the Court
4 to extend the time for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the TAC from September 25,
5 2012 to October 12, 2012;

6 **WHEREAS**, on September 27, 2012, the Court granted the requested extension;

7 **WHEREAS**, on October 9, 2012, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss the TAC
8 (“Motion to Dismiss”);

9 **WHEREAS**, the deadline for Plaintiff to file her opposition to Defendant’s Motion to
10 Dismiss (“Opposition”) is October 23, 2012;

11 **WHEREAS**, the deadline for Defendant to file its reply in support of the Motion to
12 Dismiss (“Reply”) is October 30, 2012;

13 **WHEREAS**, in order to accommodate a change in the schedule of Plaintiff’s Counsel,
14 Plaintiff requires additional time to oppose the Motion to Dismiss;

15 **WHEREAS**, counsel for the parties have met and conferred regarding an extension of
16 time for Plaintiff to file her Opposition and a corresponding extension of time for Defendant to
17 file its Reply;

18 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT:**

- 19 1. The deadline to file Plaintiff’s Opposition is extended from October 23, 2012,
20 to October 30, 2012;
- 21 2. The deadline to file Defendant’s Reply is extended from October 30, 2012 to
22 November 6, 2012.

23 DATED: October 23, 2012

KIESEL BOUCHER LARSON LLP

24 By: /s/ Paul R. Kiesel

25 Paul R. Kiesel, Esq.
26 Jeffrey A. Koncius, Esq.
27 8648 Wilshire Boulevard
28 Beverly Hills, CA 90211
Tel.: (310) 854-4444
Fax: (310) 854-0812

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Paul O. Paradis, Esq.
Gina M. Tufaro, Esq.
Mark A. Butler, Esq.
HORWITZ, HORWITZ &
PARADIS, Attorneys at Law
570 7th Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10018
Tel.: (212) 986-4500
Fax: (212) 986-4501

Joseph J. M. Lange, Esq.
JOSEPH J. M. LANGE
LAW CORPORATION
222 North Sepulveda Blvd. Suite 2000
El Segundo, CA 90245
Tel.: (310) 414-1880
Fax: (310) 414-1882

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ross

DATED: October 23, 2012

HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP

By: /s/ David I. Dalby
David Ian Dalby
One California Street, 18th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel.: (415) 362-6000
Fax: (415) 834-9070

Attorneys for Defendant

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

October 24, 2012
Dated: _____

