1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 AMEC ENV'T & INFRASTRUCTURE, Case No. 12-cv-01735 SC (NC) INC., 11 ORDER COMPELLING AMEC'S Plaintiff, PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 12 **EVIDENCING DAMAGES** v. 13 Dkt. Nos. 246, 247, 248, 249 INTEGRAL CONSULTING, INC., and 14 others, 15 Defendants. 16 17 This order closes another chapter of discovery disputes in this trade secret theft case: 18 discovery of documents evidencing AMEC's claimed damages. The document requests at 19 issue are numbers 92 and 104-115 propounded by Integral. The Court held a hearing on 20 these and other discovery disputes on April 29 and asked each party to submit a proposed 21 order resolving the dispute about the damages documents. Dkt. Nos. 247, 248. The Court 22 has considered the proposed orders and now GRANTS IN PART Integral's motion to 23 compel: 24 1. RFP 92: Amec must produce all documents that were used, relied upon, or which 25 served as support for the calculation of damages set forth in the chart attached as Exhibit A 26 to Amec's Third Supplemental Responses to Integral's Interrogatories, Set One. 27 2. RFP 104-115: Amec must produce (1) summaries of the revenues and the invoices 28 Case No. 12-cv-01735 SC (NC) DISCOVERY ORDER

for each project organized by client and project; (2) exemplar copies of invoices for each project; (3) summaries of expenses providing an itemized breakdown of the various categories of direct and indirect costs and expenses with respect to each project (such as but not limited to direct payroll, benefits, and overhead costs). Amec must produce all responsive documents covered by this order by May 27. In granting the motion, the Court finds that documents and information evidencing AMEC's claimed damages are relevant. But the Court is not granting all the relief Integral seeks, because (1) Integral has other ways of discovering AMEC's damages, including fact depositions, interrogatories, and expert discovery; (2) the burden on AMEC from granting all the requests exceeds the likely benefit to Integral; and (3) the fact discovery deadline is May 29 and a further delay of the case is not in the interest of justice. Any party may object to this nondispositive discovery ruling, but must do so within 14 days. Civil L.R. 72-2. IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: May 15, 2015 Nathanael M. Cousins United States Magistrate Judge