1	Matthew F. Miller, Bar No. 172661				
2	mmiller@cbmlaw.com Robert J. Nolan, Bar No. 235738				
3	rnolan@cbmlaw.com Aengus H. Carr, Bar No. 240953				
	acarr@cbmlaw.com				
4	CARROLL, BURDICK & McDONOUGH LLP Attorneys at Law				
5	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, California 94104				
6	Telephone: 415.989.5900 Facsimile: 415.989.0932				
7					
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11					
12	AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.,	Case No. CV 12-01735 SC (NC)			
13		[Proposed] Order Regarding Initial			
14	Plaintiff,	STEPS TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY DISPUTE			
15	v.	Re: Dkt. Nos. 55, 58-59			
16	INTEGRAL CONSULTING, INC., EDWARD P. CONTI, an individual,				
17	MATTHEW HILLYARD, an individual,				
	Defendants.				
18					
19					
20	This order provides the initial actions to be performed by Defendant Integral Consulting,				
21	Inc. ("Integral") following hearing on November 6, 2013. On October 18, 2013, October 25, 2013				
22	and October 30, 2013, the parties filed letter briefs concerning AMEC's turnover demands for				
23	documents obtained by the individual defendants during employment with AMEC and retained				
24	thereafter and related discovery. Dkt. Nos. 55, 58-59. In order to educate AMEC and the Court				
25	concerning those documents contained on devices identified by Integral as responsive to AMEC's				
26	subject discovery, the Court ORDERS as follows:				
27	• Within two (2) days of the issuance of this order, Defendants will make available for				

CARROLL, BURDICK &
MCDONOUGH LLP
ATIORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

28

CBM-SF\SF607596-1

Case No. CV 12-01735 SC (NC)

inspection by FTI Consulting ("the Consultant") each of the electronic media storage

1		devices identified in Defendant's Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 0		
1		devices identified in Defendant's Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 9		
2		("Devices.")		
3	•	With the exception of those two (2) Devices for which Integral represents that all		
4		docum	nents contained thereon have previously been produced to the Plaintiff, the	
5		Consu	ltant shall examine each Device and will, within five (5) business days of receipt of	
6		the De	evices from Defendants, provide the parties with an identical report identifying each	
7		Device, the actions that the Consultant took with respect to each Device, and an index of		
8		all files contained on each Device (the "File Index.")		
9	•	The File Index will include entries for all files contained on each Device, including any		
10		deleted or overwritten files identifiable from an examination of the Device's Master File		
11		Table or other Master Index, where one exists. Compressed or container files, including		
12		but not limited to, ".PST" and ".ZIP" files will be extracted into their component member		
13		files (such as ".msg" files) and a record for each component file entered in the File Index.		
14	•	The File Index will be compiled in MS Excel format with distinct columns for each of the		
15		following metadata fields (as appropriate for each record in the File Index):		
16		•	Device make, model, serial number and size	
17		•	Custodian	
18		•	Title	
19		•	File name	
20		•	File path	
21		•	PST folder	
22		•	File extension	
23		•	File size	
24		•	From or Sender (including domain)	
25		•	To (including domain)	
26		•	CC	
27		•	BCC	

28

Subject

1	-	Attachment Count		
2	•	Date Sent		
3	•	Date Received		
4	•	Date Created		
5	•	Date Last Accessed		
6	•	Date Modified		
7	•	Date Last Printed		
8	•	Author		
9	• With	h respect to those two (2) Devices from which Integral has represented that all		
10	doc	uments have previously been produced to Plaintiff, Integral will within five (5) days of		
11	the	issuance of this order, provide Plaintiff with an index of the production Bates numbers		
12	of th	nose documents previously produced, identifying the Device from which said		
13	doc	uments were produced.		
14	• Defe	endants are responsible for any and all fees, costs and expenses associated with the		
15	Con	sultant's examination of the Devices and production of the report to the parties.		
16				
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
18		November 7, 2013		
19	DATED: N	November <u>7</u> , 2013		
20		GRANTED		
21		5 - WELCOHOUS		
22		VAEL COUSINS TRATE JUDGE		
23		Judge Nathanael M. Cousins		
24				
25		PERN DISTRICT OF CO.		
26				
27				

CARROLL, BURDICK & MCDONOUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

CBM-SF\SF607596-1