1	Matthew F. Miller, Bar No. 172661				
2	mmiller@cbmlaw.com Robert J. Nolan, Bar No. 235738				
3	rnolan@cbmlaw.com				
	Aengus H. Carr, Bar No. 240953 acarr@cbmlaw.com				
4	CARROLL, BURDICK & McDONOUGH LLP Attorneys at Law				
5	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 400				
6	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: 415.989.5900				
7	Facsimile: 415.989.0932				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
0	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
1					
2	AMEC ENVIRONMENT &	Case No. CV 12-01735 SC (NC)			
3	INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.,	AMENDED [Proposed] Order Regarding Initial			
4	Plaintiff,	STEPS TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY DISPUTE			
	v.	Re: Dkt. Nos. 55, 58-59			
5	INTEGRAL CONSULTING, INC.,				
6	EDWARD P. CONTI, an individual, MATTHEW HILLYARD, an individual,				
7					
8	Defendants.				
9					
20	This order provides the initial actions to be performed by Defendant Integral Consulting,				
21	Inc. ("Integral") following hearing on November 6, 2013. On October 18, 2013, October 25, 2013				
22	and October 30, 2013, the parties filed letter briefs concerning AMEC's turnover demands for				
23	documents obtained by the individual defendants during employment with AMEC and retained				
24	thereafter and related discovery. Dkt. Nos. 55, 58-59. In order to educate AMEC and the Court				
25	concerning those documents contained on devices identified by Integral as responsive to AMEC's				
26	subject discovery, the Court ORDERS as follows:				

CARROLL, BURDICK &
MCDONOUGH LLP
ATIORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

27

28

CBM-SF\SF607596-1 Case No. CV 12-01735 SC (NC)

Within two (2) days of the issuance of this order, Defendants will make available for

inspection by FTI Consulting ("the Consultant") each of the electronic media storage

1	devices identified in Defendant's Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 9			
2		("Devices.")		
3	•	With the exception of those two (2) Devices for which Integral represents that all		
4			nents contained thereon have previously been produced to the Plaintiff, the	
5		Consu	fourteen (14) ltant shall examine each Device and will, within five (5) business days of receipt of	
6		the Devices from Defendants, provide the parties with an identical report identifying each		
7		Device, the actions that the Consultant took with respect to each Device, and an index of		
8		all files contained on each Device (the "File Index.")		
9	•	The File Index will include entries for all files contained on each Device, including any		
10		deleted or overwritten files identifiable from an examination of the Device's Master File		
11		Table or other Master Index, where one exists. Compressed or container files, including		
12		but not limited to, ".PST" and ".ZIP" files will be extracted into their component member		
13		files (such as ".msg" files) and a record for each component file entered in the File Index.		
14	•	The File Index will be compiled in MS Excel format with distinct columns for each of the		
15		follow	ring metadata fields (as appropriate for each record in the File Index):	
16		•	Device make, model, serial number and size	
17		•	Custodian	
18		•	Title	
19		•	File name	
20		•	File path	
21		•	PST folder	
22		•	File extension	
23		•	File size	
24		•	From or Sender (including domain)	
25		•	To (including domain)	
26		•	CC	
27		•	BCC	

Subject

1	-	Attachment Count	
2	-	Date Sent	
3	-	Date Received	
4	-	Date Created	
5	-	Date Last Accessed	
6	-	Date Modified	
7	-	Date Last Printed	
8	-	Author	
9	• Wi	th respect to those two (2) Devices from which Integral has represented that all	
10	documents have previously been produced to Plaintiff, Integral will within five (5) days of		
11	the issuance of this order, provide Plaintiff with an index of the production Bates numbers		
12	of those documents previously produced, identifying the Device from which said		
13	doo	cuments were produced.	
14	• De	fendants are responsible for any and all fees, costs and expenses associated with the	
15	Со	nsultant's examination of the Devices and production of the report to the parties.	
16			
17	IT	IS SO ORDERED.	
18		TES DISTRICE	
19	DATED:	November <u>12</u> , 2013	
20		E DERED E	
21		IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED COUSINS TO THE TUDGE	
22			
23		Judge Nathanael M. Cousins	
24			
25		PRIV DISTRICT OF CE	
26		TO I KIE	
· 1 · 7	•		

CARROLL, BURDICK & MCDONOUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

28

CBM-SF\SF607596-1