UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES COLLINS, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. CV 12-1778 EDL

v. THE GILLETTE COMPANY and THE <u>PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY</u>, Defendant(s). STIPULATION AND [**PROPOSED**] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

<u>Pr</u>	j
<	

ivate Process: Private ADR (*please identify process and provider*) Private mediation to be

selected by the agreement of all parties.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)

 \checkmark

other requested deadline 90 days after the date of the Court's ruling on class certification

Dated: 6/10/12

Dated: 6/10/12

s/ Noah M. Schubert Attorney for Plaintiff

s/ Darren K. Cottriel

Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER



The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED. The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.



Dated: July 11, 2012

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."