1       1         2       1         3       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         5       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         6       DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT         7       AND HOUSING,         8       Plaintiff,         9       v.         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL         11       Defendant.         12       Defendant.         13       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         14       Fair Employment and Housing ("DEEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         19       public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         10       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         11       motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted         12       copizer without considering t			
3       4       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         5       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         6       DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT         7       AND HOUSING,         8       Plaintiff,         9       v.         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL         11       Defendant.         12       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         13       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         14       Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         10       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         11       Dated: October 30, 2017         12       Dated: October 30, 2017         13       Dated: October 30, 2017         14       Dated: October	1		
4       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         5       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         6       DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT         7       ND HOUSING,         8       Plaintiff,         9       v.         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL         11       Defendant.         12       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         14       Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         19       public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         10       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         11 <b>Dister Would like the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</b> 12 <b>Dister Would Information is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</b> 13       Dated: October 30, 2017         14       D	2		
5       NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         6       DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING,       Case No. 12-ev-01830-JCS         7       Plaintiff,       Case No. 12-ev-01830-JCS         9       v.       DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING,       Case No. 12-ev-01830-JCS         9       v.       Case No. 12-ev-01830-JCS       ORDER REGARDING UNREDACTED         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL INC,       Case No. 12-ev-01830-JCS       ORDER REGARDING UNREDACTED         11       Defendant.       Case No. 12-ev-01830-JCS       ORDER REGARDING UNREDACTED         12       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of       Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         19       public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         10       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         11       IT IS SO ORDERED.       <	3		
6       DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING,       Case No. 12-cv-01830-JCS         8       Plaintiff,       Case No. 12-cv-01830-JCS         9       v.       Case No. 12-cv-01830-JCS         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL INC,       Case No. 12-cv-01830-JCS         11       Defendant.       Case No. 12-cv-01830-JCS         13       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         14       Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         19       public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         10       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         11       motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted         12       Dated: October 30, 2017         13       Dated: October 30, 2017         14       Dated: October 30, 2017 </td <td>4</td> <td colspan="2">UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT</td>	4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7       DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING,       Case No. 12-cv-01830-JCS         8       Plaintiff,       ORDER REGARDING UNREDACTED         9       v.       Chambers copies         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL INC,       Defendant.         12       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         14       Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         19       public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         10       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         11       motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted         12       Case No. 12-cv-01830-JCS         13       IT IS SO ORDERED.         14       Dated: October 30, 2017         15       Chef Magistrate Judge	5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7       AND HOUSING,       Case No. 12-ev-01830-JCS         8       Plaintiff,       ORDER REGARDING UNREDACTED CHAMBERS COPIES         9       v.       ORDER REGARDING UNREDACTED CHAMBERS COPIES         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL INC,       Defendant.         11       Defendant.       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         14       Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         19       public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         20       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         21       motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted         22       copies without considering them.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: October 30, 2017         25       It of the magistrate Judge	6		
9       v.       ORDER REGARDING UNREDACTED CHAMBERS COPIES         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL INC,       Defendant.         12       Defendant.         13       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         10       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted copies without considering them.         12       IT IS SO ORDERED.         14       Dated: October 30, 2017         15       Dated: October 30, 2017         16       Couber 30, 2017         17       INEPH C. SPERO chief Magistrate Judge	7		Case No. <u>12-cv-01830-JCS</u>
9       v.       CHAMBERS COPIES         10       LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL INC,       Defendant.         12       Defendant.         13       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         14       Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         19       public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         20       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         21       motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted         22       copies without considering them.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: October 30, 2017         25       IS OFEPH C. SPERO         26       Couber 30, 2017	8	Plaintiff,	
INC,       Defendant.         Defendant.       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted         copies without considering them.         IT IS SO ORDERED.         Dated: October 30, 2017         JOSEPH C. SPERO         Chief Magistrate Judge	9	v.	
11       Defendant.         12       On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of         13       Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH         15       UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the         16       Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,         17       "Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."         18       If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's         19       public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than         20       November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending         21       motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted         22       copies without considering them.         23       IT IS SO ORDERED.         24       Dated: October 30, 2017         25       JOSEPH C. SPERO         26       Information Specified Magistrate Judge         27       Information Specified Magistrate Judge	10		
12       Image: Consider and the experiment of the the ex	11		
<ul> <li>Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH</li> <li>UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the</li> <li>Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,</li> <li>"Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."</li> <li>If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's</li> <li>public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than</li> <li>November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending</li> <li>motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</li> <li>copies without considering them.</li> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>JOSEPH C. SPERO</li> <li>Chief Magistrate Judge</li> </ul>	12	Defendant.	
<ul> <li>UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the</li> <li>Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,</li> <li>"Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."</li> <li>If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's</li> <li>public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than</li> <li>November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending</li> <li>motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</li> <li>copies without considering them.</li> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>JOSEPH C. SPERO</li> <li>Chief Magistrate Judge</li> </ul>	13	On October 27, 2017, the Court received an envelope from the California Department of	
<ul> <li>Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5,</li> <li>"Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."</li> <li>If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's</li> <li>public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than</li> <li>November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending</li> <li>motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</li> <li>copies without considering them.</li> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>JOSEPH C. SPERO</li> <li>Chief Magistrate Judge</li> </ul>	14	Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), a plaintiff in this action, labeled "DFEH	
<ul> <li>"Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."</li> <li>If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's</li> <li>public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than</li> <li>November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending</li> <li>motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</li> <li>copies without considering them.</li> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>JOSEPH C. SPERO</li> <li>Chief Magistrate Judge</li> </ul>	15	UNREDACTED COURTESY COPIES FOR CHAMBERS." Procedures for requesting that the	
<ul> <li>If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's</li> <li>public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than</li> <li>November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending</li> <li>motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</li> <li>copies without considering them.</li> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>JOSEPH C. SPERO</li> <li>Chief Magistrate Judge</li> </ul>	16	Court consider information not suitable for public disclosure are set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5	
<ul> <li>public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than</li> <li>November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending</li> <li>motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</li> <li>copies without considering them.</li> <li><b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b></li> <li>Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>JOSEPH C. SPERO</li> <li>Chief Magistrate Judge</li> </ul>	17	"Filing Documents Under Seal in Civil Cases."	
<ul> <li>November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending</li> <li>motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</li> <li>copies without considering them.</li> <li><b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b></li> <li>Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>JOSEPH C. SPERO</li> <li>Chief Magistrate Judge</li> </ul>	18	If DFEH would like the Court to consider information that has been redacted in DFEH's	
<ul> <li>21 motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted</li> <li>22 copies without considering them.</li> <li>23 IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>24 Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>25</li> <li>26 JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	19	public filings, DFEH must bring a motion to file under seal pursuant to Rule 79-5 no later than	
<ul> <li>22 copies without considering them.</li> <li>23 IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>24 Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	20	November 6, 2017. If DFEH does not believe the redacted information is relevant to its pending	
<ul> <li>IT IS SO ORDERED.</li> <li>Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge</li> </ul>	21	motions, no sealing motion is necessary, and the Court will securely dispose of the unredacted	
<ul> <li>24 Dated: October 30, 2017</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	22	copies without considering them.	
25 26 27	23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25   JOSEPH C. SPERO     26   Chief Magistrate Judge     27	24	Dated: October 30, 2017	
26   Chief Magistrate Judge     27	25		
	26		
28	27		
	28		

United States District Court Northern District of California