JS 44 CAND (Rev. 12/11)

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. ASSE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.

| the civil docket sheet. (SEE II   | NSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAC   | E OF THIS FORM.)   |   |  |  |  |   |           |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|-----------|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS   |   |  |   | DEFENDANTS   |  |  |   |           |
| Daniel Jacobs, Individually and on behalf of a class of persons sir<br>situated   |   |  |   | Craigslist, Inc., a Delaware Corporation   |  |  |   |           |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles, CA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  |   |  |   | County of Residence  | ence of First Listed Defendant San Francisco, CA  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  |  |   |           |
| (c) Attomeys (Firm Name,<br>Edwin C. Schreiber/Eric<br>16501 Ventura Blvd., Su<br>Encino, CA 91436: tel: (8   | ite 401   | ., 1898  | je  | Attorneys (If Known,   |  |  |   |           |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD   | ICTION (Place an "X"  | ' in One Box Only)   |   |  | PRINCIPA   | L PARTIES                              | (Place an "X" in One Box for Pla                                |           |
| U.S. Government Plaintiff   | O 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government   | Not a Party)   |   |  | PTF DEF  | Incorporated or Proof Business In This |   | EF        |
| 2 U.S. Government<br>Defendant  | 8 4 Diversity (Indicate Citisens)   | ilp of Parties in Item III)  | Citizon   | of Another State   | 2 0 2  | Incorporated and P<br>of Business In A | •   | 5         |
|   |   |  | 1   | or Subject of a C  | 3 0 3  | Foreign Nation                         | 060   | 6         |
| V. NATURE OF SUIT   | (Place an "X" in One Box (  | Only)  | CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE | THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN THE PE | The state of the s |  | 8   | ANTINE IN |
| CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE |   |  |   |  |  |  | 71  | 鱼里        |
| 1 110 Insurance 1 120 Marine 1 130 Miller Act 1 140 Negotiable Instrument 1 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   | PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander | PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Caro/ Pharmacoulical Personal Injury |   | Drug Related Scizure<br>of Property 21 USC 881<br>Other  | 28 USC 157   |  | CJ 460 Deportation  |           |
| J 151 Medicare Act<br>J 152 Recovery of Defaulted<br>Student Loans<br>(Excl. Veterans)  | ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product                        | Product Liability  368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability   |   |  |  |  |   |           |
| of Veteran's Benefits   | Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  | PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud   |   | Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Mgmt. Relations   | 0 861 HIA (  |  | S50 Securities/Commodities Exchange S90 Other Statutory Action. |           |
| 1 160 Stockholders' Suits<br>1 190 Other Contract<br>1 195 Contract Product Liability   | Product Liability  360 Other Personal   | ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage  | □ 740   | Railway Labor Act Family and Medical   | 0 864 SSID<br>0 865 RSI (4   | Title XVI                              | 891 Agricultural Acts     893 Environmental Matters             |           |
| 196 Franchise   | Injury  362 Personal Injury -   | 385 Property Damage<br>Product Liability   | □ 790   | Leave Act<br>Other Labor Litigation  |  |  | Act   | n         |
| RETAIL SERVICE PROPERTY.  | Med. Malpractice  | PRISONER PERMINDO  |   | Empl. Ret. Inc.<br>Security Act  | FEDERA   | DETAX SUITS                            | 896 Arbitration     899 Administrative Procedu                  | ire       |
| 210 Land Condomnation   | 440 Other Civil Rights  | □ 510 Motions to Vacate  |   |  |  | (U.S. Plaintiff                        | Act/Review or Appeal  | of        |
| 220 Forecicsure   | 441 Voting 442 Employment   | Sentence<br>Habeas Corpus:   |   |  | or De  | fondant)<br>Third Party                | Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of                       |           |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment<br>240 Torts to Land   | O 443 Housing/  | O 530 Conoral  |   |  | 26 US  | C 7609                                 | State Statutes  |           |
| 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property  | Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities  | Accommodations   |   | IMMIGRATION  |  |  |   |           |
|   | Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  | 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -   |   | Habeas Corpus -<br>Alien Detainee<br>Prisoner Petition)  |  |  |   |           |
|   | ☐ 448 Education   | Conditions of<br>Confinement   |   | Other Immigration<br>Actions   |  |  |   |           |
| 1 Original  2 Ren   | e Court   | Annellete Court  | 4 Reinste<br>Reoper   | ned or D 3 anothe  | a district   | ☐ 6 Multidistric                       | ct  |           |
| I. CAUSE OF ACTIO   |   | tatute under which you (CAFA)  | are filing (  | Do not cite jurisdictional s   | tatutes unless   | divaraity):                            |   | _         |
|   | Duel describtion of t   | ause:<br>ilifornia Civil Code 1  |   |  |  |  |   | _         |
| II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:   | UNDER F.R.C.P.  | IS A CLASS ACTION<br>23  | 25,000,   | MAND \$<br>000.00  |  | RY DEMAND:                             | f demanded in complaint:  Yes No                                | _         |
| III. RELATED CASE<br>IF ANY   | (S) (See instructions):   | лурсв  |   |  | DOCKET   | 'NUMBER                                |   | _         |
| X. DIVISIONAL ASS<br>Place an "X" in One Box On   |   | R. 3-2)<br>SAN FRANCISCO   | O/OAKL  | AND SAN  | NJOSE  | □ EUREK                                | A   |           |
| ATE 03/16/2012  |   | SIGNATURE OF   | ATTORNI   | LY OF RECORD   |  |  |   | 1         |
|   |   | 20   | 5   | Men  |  |  | GAGINAL   | )         |

COMPLAINT

4

5

6 7

9

8

11

12

13 14

15

1617

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

2728

DANIEL JACOBS ("PLAINTIFF"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, for this complaint, alleges as follows:

### STATEMENT OF FEDERAL COURT JURISDICTION

PLAINTIFF contends jurisdiction is proper in Federal Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (d), CAFA in that: 1. Numerous class members and DEFENDANTS are residents, citizens and domiciles of different states (DEFENDANT is a Delaware and California citizen, domicile and resident); 2. This is a class action wherein there are in excess of 100 class members; 3. This is a class action wherein more than two thirds (2/3) of the class members are citizens, domiciles and residents of states other than the DEFENDANT; 4. This is a class action which seeks in excess of five million dollars (\$5,000,000,000) in damages

#### NATURE OF THE CASE

This is a class action brought on behalf of all natural persons nationwide who purchased 1. advertising services through the posting of classified for-pay advertisements (collectively "ADVERTISEMENTS"), from CRAIGSLIST by and through CRAIGSLIST's Internet web site The basic nature of CRAIGSLIST's ADVERTISEMENTS are that (www.CRAIGSLIST.com) CRAIGSLIST offers a variety of free-to-post classified advertisements, as well as certain paid for advertisements. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST only charges a fee for employment listings on their specific Atlanta, Austin, Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Denver, Houston, Los Angeles, New York, Orange County, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Portland, Sacramento, San Diego, Seattle, South Florida Washington DC and San Francisco web pages (\$25 for all areas listed except the price is \$75 for San Francisco), and that all other postings on CRAIGSLIST are free. In line with any ADVERTISEMENTS purchase by credit card, CRAIGSLIST requires a customer to provide personal information, including but not limited to a home address and telephone number. CRAIGSLIST lists telephone number and home address as required information page and a transaction cannot be completed without first providing this information to CRAIGSLIST. That is, CRAIGSLIST requires, as a condition of purchase, that a consumer provide it with a telephone number and home address prior to allowing the customer to make a credit card purchase. This request is also made by and through the use of a pre-printed form, which requires a consumer to fill in his or her

phone number and address. Furthermore, CRAIGSLIST does not use a phone number for credit card verification, as CRAIGSLIST's form only requires a contact phone number as opposed to a billing address phone number, meaning that while CRAIGSLIST requests and requires a telephone number from a consumer as a condition of paying via credit card (the only method of payment CRAIGSLIST accepts), it does not need the phone number for verification of the credit card or to otherwise process the credit card transaction. Requesting or requiring a telephone number and/or home address in line with a credit card transaction, and using a pre-printed form to require a consumer to write this information violates the Song-Beverly Credit Card Act (Civil Code § 1747.08).

I.

### THE PARTIES

- 2. PLAINTIFF alleges that: Defendant CRAIGSLIST, INC. ("CRAIGSLIST") is now, and at all times relevant hereto was an is a Delaware corporation, and is the owner and operator of the website <a href="www.CRAIGSLIST.com">www.CRAIGSLIST.com</a>. CRAIGSLIST's principal place of business is located in San Francisco, California. All DEFENDANTS, are collectively referred to as "DEFENDANTS."
- 3. At all times herein mentioned, PLAINTIFF was and is a resident of Los Angeles County, California. On or about March 8, 2012, PLAINTIFF visited CRAIGSLIST's website because he desired to purchase an ADVERTISEMENT by paying with a credit card. PLAINTIFF was required to fill in various information on Defendant's pre-printed form in line with his purchase, including his name, home address, contact (as opposed to billing) phone number, e-mail address, credit card number, credit card expiration date and the credit security code or CCID (credit card identification number). The CRAIGSLIST website, would not progress to the next page to complete the purchase until PLAINTIFF provided his telephone number and other required information. That is, providing a telephone number and address was a requirement of completing the credit card purchase. Thereafter, PLAINTIFF provided CRAIGSLIST with all the information it required, and completed the transaction, paying for the ADVERTISEMENT by and through his credit card.
- 4. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST captured and retained all of the information PLAINTIFF provided to CRAIGSLIST in line with the transaction.

5. PLAINTIFF is informed and believe and therefore alleges that all DEFENDANTS were the agents and/or employees of each other and were acting in the course and scope of their employment and/or agency with the permission and approval of all other DEFENDANTS throughout all times herein alleged.

II.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This court has jurisdiction over this action because pursuant to 28 <u>U.S.C.</u> § 1332 (d), under CAFA, numerous class members and Defendants are residents of different states, and more than two thirds (2/3) of the class members are residents of states different from the principal DEFENDANT. Furthermore, there are in excess of one hundred (100) class members and the aggregate of the claims of all class members far exceeds five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00).

- 7. Venue is proper in this Court because pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (c), CRAIGSLIST's principal place of business is in San Francisco, California and its agreement with all of its customers requires all lawsuits to be brought in State or Federal Court in San Francisco (Northern District) County, California. Therefore, because, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (c), DEFENDANT is deemed to reside in the Central District of California, venue in the Northern District of California is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (a)(1).
- 8. The DEFENDANT conducts and transacts business in the State of California in that it solicits business, advertises and enters into contractual relations with California residents, DEFENDANT is licensed to transact business in California, and CRAIGSLIST has its principal place of business in California.

m.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

9. Pursuant to Section 382 of the <u>Code of Civil Procedure</u>, PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of itself and on behalf of a Class consisting of:

A national class of all natural persons who within one (1) year prior to the filing of the complaint in the within action who, in the course and scope of purchasing ADVERTISEMENTS and paying by credit card, was required to provide a telephone number and/or an address to CRAIGSLIST in order to purchase ADVERTISEMENTS and post such ADVERTISEMENTS on

CRAIGSLIST's website, as a condition of paying by credit card. Excluded from the Class are the Court and any Court staff assigned to this matter, DEFENDANTS, their directors and officers and any member of their immediate families. Also excluded from the class are all free advertisements, as the class is only for those persons who purchased ADVERTISEMENTS from CRAIGSLIST with a credit card.

PLAINTIFF seeks to certify a class consisting of all said persons as follows: for the first cause of action, all natural persons who within one year prior to the filing of this action and up through the date of class certification in this action, were required to provide their telephone number and/or address to CRAIGSLIST as a condition of using their credit card for the purchase of ADVERTISEMENTS from CRAIGSLIST, including having to write this information on a pre-printed form.

## 10. Numerosity of the Class

The members of the Class are so numerous that separate joinder of each member is impractical. PLAINTIFF believes there are in excess of hundreds of thousands, if not millions of current and former CRAIGSLIST customers that would be members of the Class. Although the exact number and identities of the Class members are presently unknown to the PLAINTIFF, their number and identities can be readily ascertained from the DEFENDANTS' records.

# 11. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law or Fact

Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- whether all persons who are current and former members of CRAIGSLIST are bound by CRAIGSLIST's Terms and Conditions which contains a California choice of law clause as well as a San Francisco California venue clause. That all class members regardless of their state of citizenship are required to litigate in California, using California law;
- b. Whether all persons who are current and former customers of CRAIGSLIST are required to provide their telephone number and/or address as a condition of paying for CRAIGSLIST's ADVERTISEMENTS by credit card, including having to write this information on a pre-printed form;
- c. Whether each and every member of the class is entitled to statutory damages as a matter

7 8

- d. Whether each and every transaction between CRAIGSLIST and class members is virtually identical;
- e. Whether each and every member of the class is a victim of CRAIGSLIST's unlawful conduct in violation of the Song-Beverly Credit Card Act.

## 12. Typicality

PLAINTIFF's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members, since he purchased ADVERTISEMENTS from CRAIGSLIST and was required to provide his address and telephone number as a condition of and prior to using his credit card to obtain ADVERTISEMENTS from CRAIGSLIST, which is the same or substantially similar to what all other members of CRAIGSLIST who wished to pay by credit card were required to do, including having to write this information on a pre-printed form. Furthermore because this lawsuit seeks to effect a change in conduct of the Defendants, and each of them, and seeks to confer a significant benefit on, and the enforcement of a significant right upon the public, because of the necessity of private enforcement and in the interests of justice, Plaintiff seeks to recover attorney's fees under Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

## 13. Adequacy of Representation

PLAINTIFF will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class.

PLAINTIFF's interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class members he seeks to represent.

PLAINTIFF has retained competent counsel experienced in complex class action litigation, including deceptive trade practices. PLAINTIFF intends to vigorously prosecute this action.

# 14. Superiority

A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The damages suffered by each individual Class member are too small to justify the burden and expense of individual prosecution, so that it would be virtually impossible for the members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. Even if the members of the Class themselves could afford such litigation, the court system could not. Individual litigation of the issues raised by the DEFENDANT's conduct would increase the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system. Therefore, this case is ideally suited for class treatment.

## SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

- As a condition of posting his ADVERTISEMENT on CRAIGSLIST, PLAINTIFF was required both to provide his telephone number and address in order to complete his credit card purchase. PLAINTIFF could not complete his credit card transaction without providing CRAIGSLIST with his telephone number and address on CRAIGSLIST's pre-printed form. That is, providing a telephone number and address was a condition of paying by credit card, as CRAIGSLIST's website would not permit PLAINTIFF to obtain ADVERTISEMENTS from CRAIGSLIST by credit card unless he first provided his telephone number and address to CRAIGSLIST. The request for telephone number and address immediately precedes the credit card payment and CRAIGSLIST will not permit a customer to purchase their ADVERTISEMENTS by credit card unless they first provide CRAIGSLIST with all of the requested information including telephone number and address.
- 16. CRAIGSLIST both requests and requires customers to provide CRAIGSLIST with personal information including, but not limited to the customer's telephone number and address as a condition of accepting a credit card as payment for CRAIGSLIST's ADVERTISEMENTS, this information is also requested by and through the use of a pre-printed form which requires a consumer to provide his or her personal information to CRAIGSLIST.
- 17. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST records each consumer's personal information, including, but not limited to a telephone number and address, in line with each credit card transaction, and keeps records of such personal information.
- 18. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST is not contractually obligated to provide a consumer's telephone number and/or address in order to complete the credit card transaction, nor is CRAIGSLIST required to record a consumer's telephone number or address by federal law or regulation. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes that because CRAIGSLIST obtains the CCID or credit card identification number, the credit card transaction would be permitted to proceed without any further information. Furthermore PLAINTIFF is informed and believes that even if the credit card processing company or companies required a valid billing address and CCID,

under no circumstance would PLAINTIFF's telephone number be required to complete his transaction, that is, under no circumstance does CRAIGSLIST need PLAINTIFF's telephone number in order to complete an ADVERTISEMENT transaction conducted completely over the Internet, because telephone numbers are not used to verify credit cards.

- 19. CRAIGSLIST does not require a consumer's telephone number or address for a special purpose incidental, but related to the individual credit card transaction, as a telephone number and address is unnecessary to complete the transaction which involves the providing of ADVERTISEMENTS over the Internet. All elements of the transaction can be and are accomplished over the Internet.
- 20. Pursuant <u>Civil Code</u> § 1747.08, the Court is required to award statutory penalties in the event it determines that the Song-Beverly Credit Card has been violated. Such damages range from one cent (\$.01) to up to two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) for the first violation and from one cent (\$.01) to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each violation thereafter.

V.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# VIOLATION OF CIVIL CODE § 1747.08 et seq.

(Against all DEFENDANTS, and Each of Them)

As and for a first cause of action against the DEFENDANTS, PLAINTIFF alleges as follows:

- 21. PLAINTIFF hereby repeats and realleges Paragraphs 1-20 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. PLAINTIFF purchased an ADVERTISEMENT from CRAIGSLIST's website on March 8, 2012 with his credit card. In line with the transaction, and immediately prior to making payment, PLAINTIFF was required to provide CRAIGSLIST with his home address and telephone number, which PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST electronically recorded.
- 23. CRAIGSLIST both requests and requires customers to provide CRAIGSLIST with personal information including home address and telephone number as a condition of accepting a credit card as payment for CRAIGSLIST's ADVERTISEMENTS. This request is also made by and through

the use of a pre-printed form which requires the consumer to provide the personal information to CRAIGSLIST before the transaction can proceed.

- 24. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST records each consumer's personal information, in line with each credit card transaction, and keeps records of such personal information.
- 25. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST is not contractually obligated to obtain a customer's home address or telephone number in order to complete the credit card transaction, nor is CRAIGSLIST required to record a consumer's telephone number by federal law or regulation.
- 26. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST is not required to obtain a consumer's telephone number or address for a special purpose incidental, but related to the individual credit card transaction with respect to ADVERTISEMENTS. Furthermore Plaintiff is informed and believes and therefore alleges that CRAIGSLIST does not use a contact phone number or any other telephone number to verify credit cards are authentic or otherwise prevent identity theft or fraud because telephone numbers are not used by any credit card providers or processors to verify credit cards.
- 27. Pursuant <u>Civil Code</u> § 1747.08, the Court is required to award statutory penalties in the event it determines that the Song-Beverly Credit Card Act has been violated.
- 28. Pursuant <u>Civil Code</u> § 1747.08, the Court has discretion to award damages/penalties of between one cent (\$.01) and two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) for the first violation, per violation and between one cent (\$.01) and one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for each subsequent violation, per violation, of the Song-Beverly Credit Card Act. PLAINTIFF seeks an award up to two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) for the first violation and up to one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for each subsequent violation of law, per class member. PLAINTIFF contends this amount is likely in excess of \$250,000,000 depending on the amount of the penalty actually awarded.
- 29. Therefore, PLAINTIFF and the class seek civil penalties up to the maximum penalty of \$250.00 for the first violation and \$1,000.00 per violation within the Court's discretion as provided for under <u>Civil Code</u> § 1747.08.

| 1   | 30. PLAIN   | TIFF also requests that he  | and the class be awarded attorney fees under Code of                    |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2   | Civil Procedure § 1   | 1021.5, within the discretion   | n of the Court.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3   |   | PRAYE   | R FOR RELIEF  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | WHEREF  | ORE, PLAINTIFF prays ju   | dgment against DEFENDANTS and each of them, as                          |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | follows:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6   | On All Causes of Action   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7   | 1. An   | An order certifying that this action may be maintained as a class action appointing |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8   | PLAINTIFF as designated the representative of the Class, and appointing PLAINTIFF's undersigned |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9   | counsel as designat   | ted counsel for the Class.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10  | 2. That   | 2. That PLAINTIFF and all members of the Class be awarded civil penalties up to the |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11  | lega  | l maximum pursuant to <u>Civ</u>  | ril Code § 1747.08  |  |  |  |  |
| 12  | 2. That   | 2. That PLAINTIFF and members of the Class be awarded costs of suit herein;         |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13  | 3. That PLAINTIFF and members of Class be awarded such other relief as this Cour                |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14  | deems just and proj   | per.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 15  | 4. That P   | LAINTIFF and all member   | es of the Class attorney's fees pursuant to Civil Code §                |  |  |  |  |
| 16  | 1021.5.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 17  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 18  | DATED: March 16, 2012 SCHREIBER & SCHREIBER, INC.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 19  | DATED. Water 10   | , 2012  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 20  |   |   | /s/ Edwin C. Schreiber  |  |  |  |  |
| 21  |   |   | EDWIN C. SCHREIBER, Attorneys for PLAINTIFF DANIEL JACOBS individually, |  |  |  |  |
| 22  |   |   | and on behalf of a class of persons similarly situated                  |  |  |  |  |
| 23  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 24  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 25  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 26  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 27  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 28  | ,   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|     |   | A   | 10<br>DMPLAINT  |  |  |  |  |
| - 1 | I   |   | #### ##### ##### #  |  |  |  |  |