1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	
7	ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL, Plaintiff, Case No. 12-cv-02099-JST
8	
9	JUDGMENT HEARING; SETTING
10	ROUND ROCK RESEARCH, LLC, Defendant. Befendant.
11	
12	
13	Because the parties' motions to strike portions of expert reports, currently pending before
14	Judge Cousins, will affect the record before the Court relevant to the parties' motions for summary
15	judgment, the Court ORDERS as follows:
16	1. At the April 10, 2014 summary judgment hearing, the parties shall focus their
17	arguments on Parts IV.A.1, IV.B.1.(a)-(c), IV.B.2, IV.C, and IV.D of ASUS' motion for summary
18	judgment, as well as the entirety of Round Rock's motion for summary judgment, only as the
19	arguments relate to charted accused devices and infringement theories disclosed in Round Rock's
20	operative infringement contentions.
21	2. At the April 10 hearing, the parties shall begin their presentations by explaining
22	their view of how the motions to strike affect the relief requested by the parties' motions for
23	summary judgment. In particular, the parties' presentations shall include, at a minimum,
24	discussions of:
25	a. whether and how the motions to strike affect the evidence concerning the
26	charted accused devices and charted infringement theories;
27	b. which uncharted accused devices are subject to the motions to strike;
28	c. which infringement theories are subject to the motions to strike;

United States District Court Northern District of California

1	d. how the motions to strike should affect the Court's analysis of ASUS'
2	motion for summary judgment on the issue of indirect infringement; and
3	e. how the motions to strike should affect the Court's analysis of ASUS
4	Taiwan's motion for summary judgment on all claims of infringement.
5	3. The Court will hold a second summary judgment hearing on May 13, 2014, at
6	2:00 p.m., for the purpose of discussing the remaining summary judgment issues and the effect of
7	the final ruling on the motions to strike on the summary judgment record.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated: April 3, 2014
10	JON S. TIGAR
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

United States District Court Northern District of California