

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,
7 Plaintiff,
8 v.
9 ROUND ROCK RESEARCH, LLC,
10 Defendant.
11

Case No. [12-cv-02099-JST](#)

**ORDER RE: CONDUCT OF
TECHNOLOGY TUTORIAL**

Re: Dkt. No. 60


12
13 The court has received the joint letter from counsel dated April 8, 2013 regarding the
14 conduct of the technology tutorial scheduled for April 16, 2013. In the letter, the parties dispute
15 whether they should be permitted to present expert testimony during the technology tutorial. The
16 Plaintiff would like to do so; the Defendant would prefer that no one do.

17 Having reviewed the parties' arguments, it appears that Defendant has reasonably relied on
18 the Court's prior scheduling order, which stated that the technology tutorial would "be conducted
19 by counsel only, not experts." Dkt. No. 34, ¶ 4.

20 No party having raised the issue with the Court until now, and the Court having not invited
21 expert testimony, and with the hearing now only a week away, the Court concludes that the fairest
22 outcome is to affirm the restriction in the Court's original order. The technology tutorial will be
23 conducted by counsel only.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: April 9, 2013

26
27 
28 JON S. TIGAR
States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California