

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
EUREKA DIVISION

TIMOTHY PEOPLES,
Plaintiff,
v.
MAKELA, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-02163-CRB (NJV)

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
CONTINUE SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE**

Re: Dkt. No. 192

A settlement conference is scheduled in this case for May 9, 2017. On April 24, 2017, Plaintiff filed a motion to continue that settlement conference. (Doc. 192.) Plaintiff states that he currently has an appeal pending before the United States Court of Appeals in another case, and that the answering brief in that appeal is due May 3, 2017. Plaintiff states that thereafter, he will have fourteen days to file his optional reply brief. Plaintiff claims that requiring him to attend the settlement conference will cause a hardship regarding his ability to meet the deadline for filing his reply.

The court finds Plaintiff's claim unconvincing. Persons who chose to simultaneously litigate multiple cases, whether they are acting in pro se or are licensed attorneys representing clients, must manage their case schedules. Further, the scheduling and execution of in-person settlement conferences with inmates involves complicated planning between the court and the inmate's institution, and are not easily rescheduled.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is **HEREBY DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 26, 2017


WANDOR J. VADAS
United States Magistrate Judge