

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 MICHAEL J. HICKS,  
9 Plaintiff,

No. C 12-2207 SI (pr)

**ORDER**

10 v.

11 LINDA NEAL; et al.,  
12 Defendants.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/


14 Plaintiff filed a motion to quash or modify subpoenas issued by defendant Kulka (Docket  
15 # 23) and the court set a briefing schedule on the motion. Plaintiff then filed another motion to  
16 quash or modify a subpoena issued by defendant Neal (Docket # 26). Plaintiff also filed a  
17 "plaintiff's supplemental to motion to quash" (Docket # 27) that may apply to one or both of his  
18 motions to quash.

19 The court prefers to consider the discovery disputes at the same time. Defendant Kulka's  
20 motion for an extension of the time to file his opposition is GRANTED. (Docket # 28.) The  
21 court vacates the existing briefing schedule for the motion with regard to the Kulka subpoenas  
22 and sets the following new briefing schedule for both motions to quash:

- 23 1. Defendants must file and serve their oppositions no later than **January 31, 2013**.  
24 2. Plaintiff must file and serve on each defense counsel his reply brief (if any) no  
25 later than **February 15, 2013**.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: January 15, 2013

28  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge