28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

California's mediation and confidentiality statutes (e.g., Cal. Evid. Code § 1119(a)), did not preclude Sony from pleading and pursuing a breach of contract claim based on a series of written emails that Sony alleged constituted a written settlement agreement. Docket No. 26 at 3. As Sony's complaint did not adequately allege the contents of the particular emails at issue, the Court granted the motion to dismiss and allowed Sony to amend to "include the contentions in Sony's opposition papers." Id.

Sony filed its amended complaint on September 7, 2012 (Docket No. 29), amending its breach of contract claim and omitting the fraud claim. HannStar now moves to dismiss or strike the amended breach of contract claim, raising the same arguments it made on its prior motion. See Docket No. 31. HannStar also argues that Sony has failed to adequately allege the citizenship of the members of SCEA to establish diversity.

The Court finds that Sony has adequately alleged its breach of contract claim in its First Amended Complaint. With respect to the citizenship of SCEA, Sony argues SCEA's Corporate Disclosure Statement (Docket No. 3) makes it clear that no Taiwanese companies or individuals are members of SCEA, but that it is willing to amend the complaint to reflect these facts. See Docket No. 34 at 5.

Therefore, the Court DENIES the motion to dismiss or strike the breach of contract claim, but grants the motion to dismiss or strike to allow Sony to amend its allegations regarding the citizenship of SCEA. The amended complaint shall be filed on or before October 31, 2012. Docket No. 31 in 12-2214. Master Docket No. 6841 in 07-1827.

IT IS SO ORDERED. 21

Dated: October 22, 2012

NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE