1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES ERNEST ROJO,

No. C 12-2518 SI (pr)

Plaintiff,

V.

DARRIN BRIGHT; et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL; GRANTING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT; AND VACATING BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Plaintiff has requested that counsel be appointed to assist him in this action. A district court has the discretion under 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(1) to designate counsel to represent an indigent civil litigant in exceptional circumstances. *See Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). This requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *See id.* Neither of these factors is dispositive and both must be viewed together before deciding on a request for counsel under § 1915(e)(1). Here, exceptional circumstances requiring the appointment of counsel are not evident. The request for appointment of counsel is DENIED. (Docket # 19.)

In the same document, plaintiff has requested an extension of time to file an amended complaint. The request is GRANTED. (Docket #19.) Plaintiff must file and serve his proposed amended complaint by March 29, 2013. Defendants must file and serve any opposition to the proposed amended complaint no later than April 26, 2013. Plaintiff must file and serve his reply, if any, no later than May 10, 2013.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

In light of plaintiff's expressed desire to amend his complaint, the briefing schedule for dispositive motions is VACATED. The court will set a new briefing schedule after the amendment is resolved, so that all claims may be resolved at the same time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 4, 2013

SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge