1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	IOHN DUGAN at al No. C 12 02540 V	\$77H A	
8	0	WIIA	
9	9 Plaintiffs,		
10	10 V. ORDER GRAN' AND DENYING		
11		FIVE MOTION TO	
12	Defendant	J. J. J.	
13	13		
14	Defendant has filed an administrative motion to seal. In Kamakana v. Honolulu, 447		
15	F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006), the Ninth Circuit held that more than good cause, indeed,		
16	"compelling reasons" are required to seal documents used in dispositive motions. Compelling		
17	reasons have not been shown to seal the two lines in defendant's motion for summary judgment		
18	and thus the request is DENIED . The request to seal four excerpts of defendant's exhibits		
19	contained in the Khula declaration is GRANTED . The request to seal Exhibit 20 which contains		
20	internal practices and procedures for loan products is GRANTED . The request to seal Exhibit 39		
21	which contains a business proposal and repricing information is GRANTED . The request to seal		
22	pages 3 and 4 of Exhibit 40 which contain pricing and funding data is GRANTED . The request to		
23	23 seal Exhibit 42 which contains formulas and loan data is GRANTED . Compe	seal Exhibit 42 which contains formulas and loan data is GRANTED . Compelling reasons have	
24	24 not been shown to seal pages 2 and 3 of Exhibit 43 and thus the request is D	not been shown to seal pages 2 and 3 of Exhibit 43 and thus the request is DENIED .	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	26		

Dated: December 19, 2013.

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com

United States District Court For the Northern District of California