UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN DUGAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LLOYDS TSB BANK, PLC,

Defendant.

Case No.:3:12-cv-02549-WHA (NJV)

ORDER RE CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTIVE ORDER

Re: Dkt. No. 82

No later than January 7, 2013, Lloyds TSB Bank, PLC ("Lloyds") shall file a 5-page letter brief addressing the following issues:

- 1. Which entities are Lloyds' "competitors" for purposes of its proposed definition of "expert," and why are these entities "competitors."
- 2. Why the information Lloyds seeks to designate as "highly confidential" merits that level of protection.
- 3. Should the court agree with Lloyds that the above provisions are appropriate, why should the protective order not include a fee-shifting provision if Plaintiffs successfully challenge Lloyd's designations of "highly confidential" materials and/or "competitors."

The temporary protective order entered on December 6, 2012 (Dkt. No. 73) will continue to apply pending resolution of this new issue. The court will schedule a hearing if appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 20, 2012

Nandor J. Vadas

United States Magistrate Judge