Tucker v. Gill et al Doc. 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Novena Tucker		012.02/70 TPU
	Dlaintiff(a)	CASE NO. Case No.: C12-02678 TEH
	Plaintiff(s),	
Donald Gill, et a	v. il.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met and pulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the follow:	ing ADR process:
Court Processes: ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) ☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
appreciably ADR phone	more likely to meet their needs t	lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an s form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	ate Process: Private ADR (please identify	process and provider)
The parties a	agree to hold the ADR session be the presumptive deadline (The referring the case to an ADR)	y: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
Ø	other requested deadline 150	days after the first case management conference.
Dated: 8/6/20	112	/s/ Jivaka Candappa
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 8/6/2012		/s/ Noah Blechman
		Attorney for Defendant
Dated: 8	/6/2012	/s/James Marzan
		Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

- □ Non-binding Arbitration
- ☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- Mediation
- □ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- □ 90 days from the date of this order.
- other 01/24/2013

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 08/07/2012

