17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 10 Plaintiff, No. C 12-02703 JSW 11 12 (1) APPROXIMATELY \$35,040 IN UNITED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND STATES CURRENCY, and (2) VACATING HEARING AND 13 APPROXIMATELY \$36,085 IN UNITED CASE MANAGEMENT STATES CURRENCY, CONFERENCE 14 Defendants. 15 16

Now before the Court are: (1) Claimants' motion to dismiss based on the alleged untimeliness of the United States of America's filing of a claim; (2) United States of America's motion to strike the Claimants' claims as untimely filed; (3) United States of America's motion to strike the Claimants' claims for failure to answer special interrogatories; and (4) United States of America's administrative motion to continue the hearing and briefing on the Claimants' motion to dismiss. This matter is also set for an initial case management on the day set for hearing on the Claimants' motion to dismiss, October 5, 2012 at 1:30 p.m.

Pursuant to Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions ("Supplemental Rules"), the government may serve special interrogatories limited to the claimant's identity and relationship to the defendant property without leave of court any time after a claim is filed and before discovery is closed. *See* Supplemental Rule G(6)(a). The effect of service of the interrogatories is a delay of 21 days from the responsive answers to any

pending motion to dismiss. See Supplemental Rule G(6)(c). In addition, failure to serve responses is a basis for a motion to strike a claim. See Supplemental Rule G(8)(c)(i)(A).

The United States of America filed an opposition prior to service of responses to their special interrogatories, rendering their administrative motion to extend briefing moot. However, Claimants have failed either to file a reply on their motions to dismiss or to file answers to the special interrogatories. Accordingly, this Court HEREBY ISSUES this Order to Show Cause why the Claimants' claims should not be stricken for either (1) untimeliness in filing their original claims, or (2) failure to respond to the outstanding special interrogatories. Claimants shall file a response in writing to this Order with a reply on their motion to dismiss as well as oppositions to the motions to strike their claims by no later than October 12, 2012. Failure to respond timely to this Order shall result in the granting of the government's motion to strike the claims.

The hearing on the motion to dismiss and the initial case management conference, both set for October 5, 2012 at 1:30 p.m., the motions to strike set for October 12, 2012 and November 9, 2012 are HEREBY VACATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 1, 2012

TATES DISTRICT JUDGE