

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TYRONE HURT,

No. C 12-02728 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER RE LETTER RECEIVED  
NOVEMBER 26, 2012**


50 States and the City of Washington,  
All State Legislatures of the 50 States,  
and U.S. Department of Justice,

Defendants.

Pro se plaintiff Tyrone Hurt’s hand-written complaint was dismissed in part because it was largely illegible. Plaintiff’s application to proceed in forma pauperis was thus denied, “without prejudice to refile once plaintiff has submitted a complaint that is legible” (Dkt. No. 11). Rather than file a legible complaint, however, plaintiff appealed the order denying him leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The appeal was dismissed as untimely. Plaintiff has now filed a letter, addressed to the Clerk, requesting that the complaint be forwarded back to him “to be made legible.” *First*, such letters to the Court or the Clerk of the Court are improper. Plaintiff should refrain from such communications in the future. The Clerk will not return documents to plaintiff. *Second*, the Court construes this letter as a request to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff must file an amended complaint by **JANUARY 2, 2013**. Failure to file a cognizable legal claim by this date shall result in dismissal of this action with prejudice.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 10, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE