

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASSIE LEE MAY,

Plaintiff,

No. C 12-02735 JSW

v.

Commissioner of Social Security, MICHAEL
C. ASTRUE,

Defendant.

**ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES
TO INFORM COURT WHETHER
THEY CONSENT TO
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL
PURPOSES**

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than November 13, 2012, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.¹ For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 9, 2012


JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California