1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DAWN M. ROSS (SBN 143028) KIMBERLY CORCORAN (148229) CARLE, MACKIE, POWER & ROSS LLP 100 B Street, Suite 400 Santa Rosa, California 95401 Telephone: (707) 526-4200 Facsimile: (707) 526-4707 Attorneys for Plaintiffs UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	MERAS ENGINEERING INC., a California Corporation, and CHAD WALROD,	Case No. C12-02738 EMC
11 12	Plaintiffs, v.	(1) STIPULATION RE: DISMISSAL (FRCP 10(a)) and (2) [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL
13	CH ₂ O, Inc., a Washington Corporation,	Complaint Filed: 05/29/2012
14	Defendant(s)	Honorable Edward M. Chen
15		
16	I.	
17	STIPULATION RE: DISMISSAL	
18	Plaintiffs Meras Engineering and Chad Walrod (herein "Plaintiffs"), on the one hand, and	
19	Defendant CH2O Inc. ("herein Defendant"), on the other hand, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed the complaint in this action on May 29, 2012 (docket 1); and	
21	WHEREAS, Defendant filed an answer to the complaint on July 12, 2012 (docket 14);	
22	and	
23	WHEREAS, on January 14, 2013, the Court issued an order in a Related Case, Meras	
24	Engineering Inc., et al. v. CH ₂ O, Inc., et al., Case number C 3:11-00389 EMC (docket 87 in case	
25	C 3:11-00389 EMC), by which that Related Case was dismissed on the basis of a contractual	
26	choice of venue clause;	
27	WHEREAS, the parties to this case reviewed the contractual language at issue in this	
28	case and determined that it was sufficiently similar that the court may dismiss this action as well	
CARLE, MACKIE, POWER & ROSS LLP	00286258.DOC 1 Stinulation Re: Dismissal and	Case No.: C12-02738 EMC [Proposed] Order Of Dismissal
		Dockets.Justia.

1	on the basis of contractual venue, even though the parties still dispute the enforceability of the	
2	subject venue clause;	
3	WHEREAS, there is already an action pending between CH2O, Inc. and Chad Walrod in	
4	the Washington State courts on issues that are similar to those presented by this case; and	
5	WHEREAS, the parties to this case have entered into an agreement by which they	
6	mutually waive fees and costs related to this action in contemplation of the parties' mutual	
7	agreement to dismiss this case without prejudice.	
8	NOW THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:	
9	1. The foregoing recitals are hereby incorporated by this reference.	
10	2. In consideration of this Stipulation, the parties agree to a full and complete mutual	
11	waiver of any claim for any attorneys' fees or costs incurred to date in the prosecution and	
12	defense of this action as set forth in the parties' "Mutual Waiver of Fees and Costs re: California-	
13	Based Action."	
14	3. Plaintiffs shall file this Stipulation with the Court, requesting an order dismissing	
15	this action without prejudice	
16	Respectfully Submitted,	
17	Dated: March 14, 2013	CARLE, MACKIE, POWER & ROSS LLP
18		By: /s/ Kimberly Corcoran
19		DAWN M. ROSS KIMBERLY CORCORAN
20		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
21		
22	Dated: March 14, 2013	DAVIES PEARSON, P.C.
23		By: <u>/s/ Rebecca M. Larson</u>
24		PETER T. PETRICH REBECCA M. LARSON
25		Appearance <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Attorneys for Defendant CH ₂ O, Inc.
26		
27		
28 Carle, Mackie,		
POWER & ROSS LLP	00286258.DOC Stipulation F	2 Case No.: C12-02738 EMC Re: Dismissal and [Proposed] Order Of Dismissal
	Supulation	

